

**Review Article** 

## Antimicrobial effectiveness of aqueous and alcoholic herbal extracts on *Streptococcus mutans*: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Kosar Ghandehari<sup>1</sup>, Marzie Boskabadi<sup>2</sup>, Alireza Sarraf Shirazi<sup>2</sup>, Masoumeh Sadeghi<sup>3</sup>, Taraneh Movahhed<sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Dentistry, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran
 <sup>2</sup>Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran
 <sup>3</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Faculty of Health, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

#### Article history:

Received: Aug 03, 2023 Received in revised form: Sep 12, 2023 Accepted: Sep 26, 2023 AJP, Vol. 15, No. 2, Jan-Feb 2025, 943-963. https://dx.doi.org/10.22038/AJ P.2024.24314

#### \* Corresponding Author:

*Tel:* +98-5138829501-15 *Fax:* +98-5138829500 *Movahhedt@mums.ac.ir* 

#### Keywords:

Streptococcus mutans Herbal extract Aqueous extract Alcoholic extract Dental caries

#### Abstract

**Objective:** Debates about the efficacy of herbal products in oral care have inspired researchers to conduct a large number of trials. This systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to assess the antibacterial efficacy of aqueous and alcoholic herbal extracts against *Streptococcus mutans* (SM) as the main cariogenic microorganism.

**Materials and Methods:** Online databases PubMed, Scopus, Cochrane Library, Web of Science, and Magiran were searched for randomized controlled trials evaluating the efficacy of herbal products against SM published up to July 2023. Meta-analyses were performed for immediate and long-term effects based on random effect model.

**Results:** Out of 57 studies that met the selection criteria for systematic review, 26 were subjected to meta-analysis. Considering both immediate and long-term effects on salivary SM, aqueous and alcoholic herbal extracts were significantly superior in the reduction of SM over non-herbal agents (immediate: SMD = -1.16; 95%CI: -2.03, -0.29, long-term: SMD = -0.76; 95%CI: -1.35, -0.17). However, the difference was not significant in the reduction of SM of plaque (SMD = -0.30, 95%CI: -1.25, 0.65). The subgroup analyses showed no significant difference compared to chlorhexidine (p>0.05). The overall quality of evidence was considered low based on GRADE tool.

**Conclusion:** Current evidence suggests encouraging results for herbal extracts in reduction of SM, but not over chlorhexidine. However, there is still insufficient evidence to recommend them as the first option for oral care. High-quality randomized controlled trials are required to assert the safety and effectiveness of them for preventing dental caries.

Please cite this paper as:

Ghandehari K, Boskabadi M, Sarraf Shirazi A, Sadeghi M, Movahhed T. Antimicrobial effectiveness of aqueous and alcoholic herbal extracts on *Streptococcus mutans*: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Avicenna J Phytomed, 2025; 15(2):943-963.

## Introduction

Dental caries are prevalent infectious diseases and a global public health concern interfering with quality of life (Ganapathi and Prabakar, 2019; Kazeminia et al., 2020; Mathur and Dhillon, 2018; Selwitz et al., 2007). About 60 to 90 percent of children and almost all adults are struggling with its complications such as sleep deprivation, malnutrition, and loss of productivity at school and work, and they produce poor aesthetic and psychological impact (Kale et al., 2020; Macfarlane et al., 2002; Peres et al., 2019). Regarding the high cost of operative treatments as well as lack or insufficiency of health insurance coverage and the possibility of recurrence, non-operative preventive treatments are gaining more attention (Chandrashekar et al., 2019b; Selwitz et al., 2007).

There is evidence that oral microbiome plays a key role in the etiology of dental caries (Usha and R, 2009) and the bacterial growth can be prevented through application of а combination of mechanical removal of the biofilm and chemical methods (Barnett, 2006; Figuero et al., 2019; Osso and Kanani, 2013; Van Der Weijden and Hioe, 2005). Mechanical methods are the main and most common means of plaque control, while chemical methods play an adjuvant role (Dentino et 2005; Toshniwal et al., 2022). al.. Currently, chemical products (e.g. chlorhexidine and cetylpyridinium chloride) are commonly used as antimicrobial agents (Chen et al., 2013). Nonetheless, owing to some adverse effects (e.g. tooth staining, altered taste, supra-gingival calculus accumulation, oral pathogens resistance and changes in microbial flora), alternative approaches such as application of medicinal plants could be considered (Chen et al., 2013; Homoki et al., 2018; Khoramian Tusi et al., 2020; Usha and R, 2009; Yadav et al., 2017). Nearly 80% of the global population (with a tendency to low socioeconomic communities) consume

medicinal plants for primary health care (Farnsworth et al., 1985; Saxena et al., 2017), including dental care. Medicinal plants favor low toxicity, good biocompatibility, and being available and affordable, resulting in gaining rising attention worldwide (Abubakar and Haque, 2020; Jain et al., 2013; Saxena et al., 2017). This has inspired numerous trials evaluating their efficacy in oral biofilm inhibition. To the best of the authors' knowledge, there is a debate in the literature over effectiveness of aqueous and alcoholic herbal extracts on the reduction of oral Streptococcus mutans the main cariogenic (SM)as microorganism.

The present systematic review and meta-analysis aim to analyze the best available evidence on the efficacy of medicinal plants to help researchers, policymakers, and stakeholders learn about the gaps in oral health studies in order to implement necessary measures towards improving oral health worldwide, particularly in less privileged regions.

## **Materials and Methods**

The present study was conducted in accordance with Preferred Reporting Items Systematic Reviews and Metafor Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (Page et al., 2021). The study protocol was registered on PROSPERO (registration No. CRD42022293208). The research question was whether aqueous and alcoholic herbal extracts are effective in reduction of oral SM level, with the following PICO: Population: children and adults irrespective to age and sex: Intervention: oral care products containing aqueous, alcoholic or hydro-alcoholic herbal extracts (regardless of concentration); Comparison: conventional methods or placebo; chemical and Outcome: reduction in SM count of saliva or plaque.

#### Search strategy and eligibility criteria

We performed a systematic search of online literature databases including PubMed, the Cochrane Library, Scopus, Web of Science, and Magiran from inception to July 2023 with no language restriction. We also did a manual search on the bibliography of the retrieved articles and previous relevant reviews to minimize missing related studies. The search conducted on databases is illustrated on Table 1.

Table 1. Search strategy applied for each database

Bibliographic databases (Primary sources)	Search strategy (Descriptors and boolean operators)
Medline via PubMed	((aqueous extract) OR (alcoholic extract) OR ((herbal extract) OR ("Herbal Medicine"[Mesh])) OR (medicinal plant) OR (Chinese plant) OR (Indian plant) OR (Persian plant) OR (Iranian plant)) AND (dental OR oral OR teeth OR tooth) AND ((dental Decay) OR (anti caries agent) OR ((Streptococcus mutans) OR ("Streptococcus mutans"[Mesh])) OR ((dental caries) OR ("Dental Caries"[Mesh])) OR ((dental plaque) OR ("Dental Plaque"[Mesh])) OR ((dental OR oral OR teeth OR tooth) AND biofilm))
Others: Scopus	TITLE-ABS-KEY (((aqueous extract) OR (alcoholic extract) OR (herbal extract) OR (medicinal plant) OR (Chinese plant) OR (Indian plant) OR (Persian plant) OR (Iranian plant)) AND ((dental Decay) OR (anti caries agent) OR (Streptococcus mutans) OR (dental caries) OR (dental plaque) OR ((dental OR oral OR teeth OR tooth) AND biofilm)))
Web of Science Cochrane Library	((aqueous extract) OR (alcoholic extract) OR (herbal extract) OR (medicinal plant) OR (Chinese plant) OR (Indian plant) OR (Persian plant) OR (Iranian plant)) AND ((dental Decay) OR (anti caries agent) OR (Streptococcus mutans) OR (dental caries) OR (dental plaque) OR ((dental OR oral OR teeth OR tooth) AND biofilm))
Hand search	Manual searches according to the reference lists of the articles

All retrieved literature was uploaded into EndNote version 8 and duplicates were removed. Two authors (KG and TM) screened articles independently for studies meeting our selection criteria by reviewing the titles and abstracts. Inclusion criteria included randomized controlled trials (RCTs) studying the efficacy of aqueous and alcoholic herbal extracts in controlling oral SM level and eventually, preventing dental caries. No limits were applied to the year of study or language. We excluded non-research articles, or studies of participants with mental or physical disability or any systemic disease that could disturb the result or studies that included individuals with a history of recent (within the previous three month) antibiotic intake.

In the second phase, two authors (KG and MB) reviewed the full texts of the remaining articles fulfilling all the selection criteria and having the data for analysis. A third author (AS) interfered if any disagreement appeared. In case of potential suitability of the study and lack of sufficient data, we made two attempts to contact corresponding authors via e-mail asking for missing data.

#### **Data extraction**

Data of country or origin, publication year, demographic characteristics of patients, sample size, study duration, intervention and control, methods of sample collection, bacterial counting and study outcome were gathered from eligible studies. Data graph digitizer was used for figure analysis. The following outcomes were collected from both intervention and control groups:

-SM count before and after the oral care product consumption;

-Immediate effects: studies in which the sample was acquired within two hours of a single dose consumption of oral care product;

-Long-term effects: studies with repeated regular consumption of oral care product.

# Assessment of study quality and credibility of meta-analysis

Risk of bias assessment was implemented through Revised Cochrane

risk-of-bias tool for randomized trials (ROB2) within five aspects (appraising randomization process, assignment to intervention, adhering to intervention, missing outcome data, measurement of outcome and selection of reported results) (Higgins et al., 2019). To assess the quality of evidence, we used Grading of Recommendation Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) (Schünemann et al., 2019).

#### Data analysis

Various dilution of samples for bacterial counting resulted in different scale of measurements. Thus, we used the Standardized Mean Difference (SMD) model to pool the effect size for quantitative synthesis of data (Borenstein et al., 2021). Funnel plot helped to detect publication bias in the presence of at least 10 studies (Page et al., 2019; Sterne et al., 2011). An  $I^2$  statistic of>30% was suggestive of heterogeneity. Sensitivity analysis displayed the extent of which a particular study influences the overall result. A two-tailed p-value<0.05 was considered statically significant. Subgroups were determined according to

the control groups being defined as either placebo, chlorhexidine, or other antiplaque products. We analyzed data using STATA software version 14 (Stata corp., College Station, TX, USA).

## **Results**

#### Search results

The initial search identified 4408 records. Following duplication removal, 3282 articles remained for title and abstract screening; out of 3282 articles, 3185 were excluded with reasons provided in Figure 1. 54 of these excluded studies were in languages other than English or Persian, and were removed since they did not meet inclusion criteria according to their title and abstract. The full text of the remaining articles was retrieved for further assessment. Of these studies, 55 did not meet the selection criteria. Finally, out of 57 articles that met the inclusion criteria. 26 had adequate data for meta-analysis (Figure 1). The characteristics of these 57 included studies are summarized in Table 2.



Figure 1. PRISMA flowchart of the present review article

#### Herbal extracts against Streptococcus mutans

Author (year)	Participants	Intervention group	Control group	Duration	Outcome	Risk of bias
А	1. Mouthwash	(Salivary sample)				
Agarwal and Nagesh (2011)	45 school children (14-15yr) with cavitated active carious lesion≥1 and salivary S.M. count above 10 <sup>5</sup> CFU/ml	Ocimum sanctum (4%) (Tulsi)	Chlorhexi dine (0.2%) Listerine	7 days	Significant superiority of chlorhexidine No significant	Low risk
Al- Dabbagh et al. (2016)	40 secondary school students (16-18yr) with carious teeth	Salvadora persica (Miswak™)	® Normal Saline	Immediate 2 weeks	difference Significant superiority of Miswak No significant difference	High risk
Al-Ezzi et al. (2018)	30 dental students( $21-23yr$ ) with dental caries $\geq 1$	Camellia sinensis (Green tea)	Distilled water	Immediate	Not specified	Low risk
		Black tea	~		Not specified	
Bajaj and Tandon (2011)	1431 students(8-12yr)	Triphala (0.6%)	Chlorhexi dine (0.1%)	9 months	Not specified	Some concerns
Bhat et al. (2012)	30 dental students(18-25yr) without caries experience	Salvadora persica (50%)	Normal Saline	Immediate	Significant superiority of Salvadora persica	High risk
(2017)	residential school with good general health and a minimum	Mangifera indica	Chlorhexi	Immediate	Significant superiority of chlorhexidine	High risk
	of 12 gradable teeth	(mango)	dine	5 days	Significant superiority of chlorhexidine	6
Botelho et al. (2008)	54 participants(17-65yr) gathered from slum community, high caries risk, natural teeth≥10, PI≥1.05, GI≥1.0	Azadirachta indica (neem) (25%)	Chlorhexi dine (0.12%)	7 days	No significant difference	High risk
Chandras hekar et al. (2019a)	34 participants(18-30yr) recruited from a hostel with minimum 20 natural permanent teeth	poly herbal 1% (Acacia nilotica,	Chlorhexi dine (0.12%)		Significant superiority of poly herbal	
		Linn Sprengel, Eucalyptus, and Psidium guajava)	Distilled water	15 days	Significant superiority of poly herbal	Low risk
Chavan et al. (2010)	45 dental students(18-25yr)	Allium sativum (garlic)	Chlorhexi dine (0.12%)	7 days	Significant superiority of garlic	Low risk
		(3%)	Placebo		Significant superiority of garlic	
Dandekar and Winnior	90 Children(8-13yr) from a residential school with	Azadirachta indica (%25)	Chlorhexi	7 days	No significant difference	I ow risk
(2021)		Mangifera indica (mango) (%25)	(0.12%)		No significant difference	LOW HISK
o et al. (2011b)	with good general health	Camellia sinensis (Green tea)	Distilled water	7 days	Significant superiority of <i>Camellia sinensis</i>	Some concerns
Ferrazzan o et al. (2015)	44 dental patients(12-18yr) with good general health	Plantago lanceolata	Placebo	7 days	Significant superiority of <i>Plantago lanceolata</i>	High risk
Hegde and Kamath (2017)	75 children(8-12yr) with DMFT≥4	<i>Camellia sinensis</i> (Green tea) (5%)	Chlorhexi dine (0.12%)	2 weeks	Significant superiority of chlorhexidine	Low risk
Helmy et al. (2021)	52 patients(18-55yr) with high caries risk and severe or active periodontal disease	<i>Glycyrrhiza glabra</i> (liquorice)	Chlorhexi dine	Immediate	No significant difference	Low risk
				7 days	No significant difference	
Jain et al. (2013)	60children(7-14yr) with poor oral hygiene, DMFS & dmfs≥5	<i>Glycyrrhiza glabra</i> (liquorice)	Chlorhexi dine (0.156%)	Immediate	Significant superiority of liquorice	Low risk

Table 2.	Continue					
Jain and Jain (2016)	120 school children(15-17yr) with 3≤DMFT≤6		Chlorhexi dine (0.2%)	Immediate 7 days	No significant difference No significant difference	
		poly herbal (garlic, aqueous gooseberry,	Essential	Immediate	Significant superiority of poly herbal	Low risk
		ginger)	011	7 days	of poly herbal	
			Sodium fluoride	Immediate 7 days	No significant difference Significant superiority of poly herbal	
Jauhari et al. (2015)	52 healthy children(6-12yr)	Salvadora persica	Sodium Fluoride	2 weeks	No significant difference	High risk
		I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Distilled water		Significant superiority of Salvadora persica	8
Kerdar et al. (2019)	50 participants (20-50yr) with mild to moderate chronic periodontitis	Scrophularia striata	Listerine ®	4 weeks	Not specified	High risk
Khalessi et al. (2004)	28 dental students(18-42yr)	Salvadora persica (Persica™)	Placebo	3 weeks	Significant superiority of Salvadora persica	Low risk
Khoramia n Tusi et al. (2020)	22 dental students(18-25yr) with no active caries and $PI < 20\%$	<i>Teucrium polium</i> (0.2%)	Placebo	2 weeks	Significant superiority of <i>Teucrium polium</i>	Low risk
Matsumot o et al.	28 participants(19-29yr) with at least 24 teeth, 1 <dmft<13< td=""><td>Cacao bean (in 1% ethanol)</td><td>Placebo (1%</td><td>4 days</td><td>No significant difference</td><td>Some concerns</td></dmft<13<>	Cacao bean (in 1% ethanol)	Placebo (1%	4 days	No significant difference	Some concerns
Megalaa	60 children(6-12yr) with high		ethanory			
(2018)	circs risk	Ocimum sanctum (2.5%)		Immediate	Significant superiority of <i>Ocimum sanctum</i>	
			Sodium Fluoride (0.05%)	7 days		Some concerns
		Terminalia chebula (4%)		Immediate	Significant superiority of <i>Terminalia chebula</i>	
Mehta et	55 children(8-14yr) with good	Freshol		7 days		
al. (2013)	general health and gradable teeth≥12	(staphysagria, chamomilla, echinacea, plantago, ocimum, cistus)	Chlorhexi dine	10 days	Significant superiority of Freshol	High risk
Mishra et al. (2019)	80 children (8-15yr) recruited from a residential premise,	Punica granatum	Chlorhexi			
	DMFT/dmft>4	Terminalia chebula Vitis vinifera	dine (0.2%)	15 days	No significant difference	High risk
Nayak et al. (2010)	30 participants (20-25yr)	(grape) <i>Terminalia chebula</i> (10%)	Distilled water	Immediate	Significant superiority of	Some concerns
Nayak et al. (2012)	60 children(12-15yr) 3≤DMFT≤6, GI: moderate (Loe and Silness). PI: fair	Terminalia chebula (2.5%)	Placebo	Immediate	Significant superiority	Low risk
Nobrega et al. (2015)	(Silness and Loe) 35 students(9-12yr) with good general health, Simplified Oral Hygiene Index≥1.6, Teeth≥20	Punica granatum (6.25%)	Chlorhexi dine (0.12%)	2 weeks	Significant superiority of chlorhexidine	Low risk
Oznurhan et al. (2019)	90 children(10-13yr) with simple gingivitis	<i>Glycyrrhiza glabra</i> (liquorice)	Chlorhexi dine	Immediate	No significant difference	Low risk
Pathi et	45 children(7-14yr) From an		Normal Saline		No significant difference	
al. (2021)	orphanage, high caries risk, Salivary $S.M \ge 10^5$ CFU/ml	<i>Glycyrrhiza glabra</i> (liquorice)	Chlorhexi dine (0.12%)	Immediate	Significant superiority of liquorice	Low risk

#### Herbal extracts against Streptococcus mutans

Pinni et	30 children(8-12yr) with		Chlorhexi		No significant	
al. (2018)	DMFT=4	Punica granatum	dine		difference	Some
		(50 mg/ml)	(0.2%)	Immediate	<b>a</b>	concerns
			Distilled		Significant superiority	
Doo at al	60 asheel shildren $(9, 12xr)$		water Kidadant		of Punica granatum	
(2021)	60 school children(8-12yr)		(Sodium			
(2021)			monofluo			
			rophosph		Significant superiority	
		Carica papaya	ate,	15 days	of Carica papaya	High risk
			Triclosan,			0
			Xylitol)			
			Distilled		Significant superiority	
C1 (	(0, 1, 11, (14, 15, )))		water		of Distilled water	
Sharma et $(2017)$	different schools, sevitated		ding		Significant superiority	
al. (2017)	active carious lesion>1	Calotropis gigantea	(0.2%)	7 days	of chlorhexidine	High rick
	Salivary S M count> $10^5$	(2.5%)	Listerine	7 days	Significant superiority	111gli 113k
	CFU/ml		®		of Listerine	
Siddesha	40 participants (20-50yr) with	HiOra*	-			
ppa et al.	mild-to-moderate gingivitis,	(Salvadora persica,	Chlorhexi	2 maaka	Significant superiority	Loursmist
(2018)	teeth 20, without untreated	Terminalia bellirica,	dine	5 weeks	of HiOra	LOW IISK
~ ·	caries	Piper betle)				
Singla et	40 Children (8-10yr) from a	Punica granatum			Significant superiority	
al. (2018)	local boarding school with	(50%) Vitia vinifana (Com			ot Punica granatum	
	high carles risk	vitis vinifera (Grape	Distilled	7 days	Significant superiority	Some
		(12.5%)	water	/ uays	of Vitis vinifera	concerns
		Psidium guaiava			Significant superiority	
		(Guava seed) (25%)			of Psidium guajava	
Srikanth	32 Students (10-14yr) from a				0 0	
et al.	residential school, refrained	Cocoa bean husk	Placebo	A days	Significant superiority	High rick
(2008)	from other routine oral	Cocoa bean nusk	1 lacebo	4 days	of cocoa	111gli 115k
	practices during the study					
Srinagesh	60 undergraduate students	TT ' 1 1	Chlorhexi		NT ' 'C' /	C
(2012)	(18-25yr) with a frank carlous	(0.6%)	dine	7 days	difference	Some
(2012)	caries	(0.0%)	(0.2%)		uniference	concerns
Tehrani et	60 Children (8-12vr) from					
al. (2011)	different schools, without	Camellia sinensis	Sodium		N	
	untreated active caries,	(Green tea)	Fluoride	2 weeks	No significant	Low risk
	gingivitis or periodontal	(0.5%)	(0.5%)		uniference	
	diseases					
Umar et	50 participants (15-25yr)	D :	Chlorhexi		oc	
al. (2016)	depth < <sup>4mm</sup> splive flow rate;	<i>Punica granatum</i>	dine	Immediate	Significant superiority	High risk
	0.5  ml/min	(Soomg/mi)	(0.2%)		oi r unica granaium	
Velmuruo	45 participant with High					
an et al.	caries risk	Terminalia chebula	Chlorhexi		No significant	C
(2013)		(20%)	dine	Immediate	difference	Some
		Phyllanthus emblica	(0.2%)		Significant superiority	concerns
		(20%)			of Phyllanthus emblica	
Yadav et	45dental students(18-		Chlorhexi		No significant	
al. (2017)	22yr),1.2≤DMFT≤2.6		dine	<b>a</b> 1	difference	TT' 1 · ·
		Coffea canephora	(0.2%)	2 weeks	Significant and	High risk
			water		of coffee	
A	A2. Mouthwash	(Plaque sample)	water		01 001100	
Beheshti-	Plaque sample of 70 girls (11-		NT 1		<b>aa</b>	
Rouy et	14yr) from a dormitory	Salvia officinalis 5%	Normal	3 weeks	of Solvia officiantic	Low risk
al. (2015)	-	(sage)	Saline		or Salvia officinalis	
Chandras	30 participants(18-30yr) From		Chlorhexi			
nekar et	a free hostel, natural		dine			
ul.	permanent teeth≥20, refrained	noly herbol 104	(0.12%)			
2019b)	from other oral hygiene	(Acacia nilotica				
	practices during the study	Murrava koenigii				
		Linn Sprengel,		4 days	Not specified	Low risk
		Eucalyptus hybrid,	Distilled	-		
		and Psidium	water			
		guajava)				

Table 2.	Continue					
Kamath et al. (2021)	50 children(8-12yr) dmft≥4	<i>Camellia sinensis</i> %0.5 (Green tea)	Chlorhexi dine 0.12%	2 weeks	Significant superiority of Camellia sinensis	Low risk
Khairnar et al. (2015)	50 participants(18-20yr)	Vaccinium macrocarpon (Cranberry)	Chlorhexi dine (0.2%)	2 weeks	No significant difference	Some concerns
Preethy and Somasun daram (2021)	50 children(8-10yr) with good general health and minimal of 3-4 active carious lesions	Vaccinium macrocarpon 0.6% (Cranberry)	Chlorhexi dine (0.2%)	2 weeks	No significant difference	High risk
(2021) Shinada et al. (2007)	26 men(20-38yr) without periodontitis	Humulus lupulus (hops)	Placebo	3 days	Significant superiority of <i>Humulus lupulus</i>	Low risk
Srilekha and Prabakar (2018)	20 dental students(18-25yr), PI: good to fair, mild to moderate gingivitis, habit of tooth brushing twice daily	<i>Punica granatum</i> (+ <i>Salvadora persica</i> & fluoride)	Chlorhexi dine	7 days	No significant difference	Some concerns
Al- Dabbagh et al. (2016) Patil et al. (2010)	B. Toothpaste 40 school Students(16-18yr) with carious teeth≥2 100 preschool children (4-6yr) with dmft=0	( Salivary sample) Salvadora Persica (Siwak.F) Himalaya	ordinary (Doctor Toothpast e) Cheerio gel	Immediate 2 weeks 150 days	Significant superiority of Salvadora Persica No significant	High risk High risk
Selvaraj et al. (2020)	60 participants(18-30yr)	(Azadirachta indica) Babool (Azadirachta indica)	(Illuoride 485PPM) PerioBiot ic	60 days	Significant superiority of Azadirachta indica	High risk
(	71 Gel (Saliya	v sample)				
Kumar et al. (2020)	30 children(6-12yr) with decayed teeth≥4	<i>Glycyrrhiza glabra</i> (liquorice)	Chlorhexi dine (0.2%)	Immediate	Significant superiority of liquorice	High risk
Pai et al. (2004)	36 participants	Azadirachta indica (25 mg/g)	Chlorhexi dine 0.12% Placebo	6 weeks	Significant superiority of Azadirachta indica	High risk
Sajadi et al. (2021)	90 preschool children(4-6yr) without active and severe periodontal diseases	Thymus vulgaris (thyme)(5%) Matricaria chamomile (5%)	Chlorhexi dine (0.2%)	Immediate 7 days Immediate	No significant difference No significant difference Significant superiority of chlorhexidine No significant	Some concerns
(	C2. Gel ( Plaque	(5%) e sample)		7 days	difference	
Nimbulka r et al. (2020)	60 teachers(20-30yr)	Azadirachta indica (2.5%)	Chlorhexi dine (0.2%)	90 days	No significant difference	Low risk
Campus et al. (2011)	D. Gum ( Saliv 120 adults(18-30yr) with high caries risk (SM≥10 <sup>5</sup> CFU/ml, BOP>25%, 1 <carious logion &lt; 4)</carious 	vary sample) Magnolia officinalis 0.17% + 30% Xylitol	Xylitol (30%) Placebo	30 days	Significant superiority of Magnolia officinalis	Low risk
Gao et al. (2018)	20 healthy young adults(20- 35yr)	Phyllanthus emblica 10%	Placebo	Immediate	Significant superiority of Phyllanthus emblica	High risk
Khoramia n Tusi et al. (2018)	20 dental students(20-30yr) with no active caries	Teucrium polium	Placebo	21 days	Significant superiority of Teucrium polium	Low risk
Almaz et al. (2017)	E. Lollipop ( S 108 healthy children(5-11yr) from both caries-free and high caries risk categories	salivary sample) Glycyrrhiza glabra (liquorice)	Placebo	10 days	No significant difference	Low risk

#### Characteristics of the included studies

The sample size ranged from 20 to 1434 with a total of 4333 participants being enrolled. Among the included studies, 31 trials were performed on children (about 75% of participants), 45 studies reported long-term effect and 18 studies reported immediate effect. The trial duration varied from 3 days to 9 months in long-term examination. Salivary samples were collected in 49 studies (stimulated saliva in 10 studies and unstimulated in 27 studies), whereas plaque samples were examined in 8 studies. *Glycyrrhiza glabra* (liquorice) (Almaz et al., 2017; Helmy et al., 2021; Jain et al., 2013; Kumar et al., 2020; Oznurhan et al., 2019; Pathi et al., 2021), Punica granatum (Mishra et al., 2019; Nobrega et al., 2015; Pinni et al., 2018; Singla et al., 2018; Srilekha and Prabakar, 2018; Umar et al., 2016), Salvadora persica (Al-Dabbagh et al., 2016; Bhat et al., 2012; Jauhari et al., 2015; Khalessi et al., 2004; Siddeshappa et al., 2018; Srilekha and Prabakar, 2018) and Terminalia chebula (Megalaa et al., 2018; Mishra et al., 2019; Nayak et al., 2012; Nayak et al., 2010; Velmurugan et al., 2013) were the most common sources of herbal extracts within the included studies. Overall, 32 articles used chlorhexidine (as the gold standard for antibacterial oral care) for control groups. The majority of trials were conducted in India and Iran (35 and 6 trials, respectively).

## Data synthesis

For meta-analysis, 26 studies (including 487 Adults and 926 children) were considered (Table 2). Considering the variety of consumption duration and the origin of samples, the retrieved articles were analyzed within three groups:

## Immediate effect on salivary SM:

Seven trials had data related to immediate effect of herbal products on oral SM (Bhat et al., 2012; Helmy et al., 2021; Jain et al., 2013; Jain and Jain, 2016; Megalaa et al., 2018; Nayak et al., 2012; Pathi et al., 2021). The studies had a high heterogeneity ( $I^2=94.6\%$ ). The sensitivity analysis did not exclude any of these studies.

Dental care products containing aqueous and alcoholic herbal extracts were significantly more likely to eliminate salivary SM compared to non-herbal ones [SMD -1.16, 95% CI (-2.03 to -0.29)] (Figure 2a). However, the subgroup analysis did not favor herbal products compared to chlorhexidine [SMD -0.35, 95% CI (-1.66 to 0.96)] (Figure 2b).

of four **RCTs** Out that used chlorhexidine (Helmy et al., 2021; Jain et al., 2013; Jain and Jain, 2016; Pathi et al., 2021), 3 RCTs applied liquorice extract for intervention. Two trials noticed superiority of liquorice in children (Jain et al., 2013; Pathi et al., 2021) and one trial favored chlorhexidine in adults (Jain and Jain, 2016). Compared to sodium fluoride, two studies observed favorable reduction of SM for herbal extracts (Jain and Jain, 2016; Megalaa et al., 2018).

## Long-term effect on salivary SM:

Sixteen trials investigated long-term use of herbal products with a low risk of publication bias (Agarwal and Nagesh, 2011; Campus et al., 2011; Chavan et al., 2010; Dandekar and Winnier, 2021; Hegde and Kamath, 2017; Helmy et al., 2021; Jain and Jain, 2016; Khalessi et al., 2004; Khoramian Tusi et al., 2018; Khoramian Tusi et al., 2020; Megalaa et al., 2018; Mehta et al., 2013; Nobrega et al., 2015; Rao et al., 2021; Sharma et al., 2017; Singla et al., 2018). Overall, aqueous and alcoholic herbal extracts were significantly superior in reduction of SM count despite high heterogeneity among the studies [SMD -0.76, 95% CI (-1.35 to -0.17)] (Figure 3a). Nevertheless, the subgroup analysis yielded no significant difference between herbal products and chlorhexidine [SMD 0.26, 95% CI (-0.24 to 0.76)] (Figure 3b).

#### Immediate Effect on Salivary SM (Herbal Extracts vs Control)

Study	SMD (95% CI) %Weight
Bhat (2012) (Salvadora persica)	-9.43 (-12.64, -6.23) 4.09
Nayak (2012) (Terminalia chebula) +	-3.97 (-4.85, -3.09) 8.42
Jain (2013) (aqueous extract of Glycyrrhiza glabra)	-1.45 (-2.15, -0.75) 8.70
Jain (2013) (alcoholic extract of Glycyrrhiza glabra)	-1.12 (-1.79, -0.45) 8.75
Jain (2016) (Poly herbal vs CHX)	<ul> <li>2.80 (2.08, 3.52)</li> <li>8.68</li> </ul>
Jain (2016) (Poly herbal MW vs Essential oil based MW)	-1.13 (-1.68, -0.59) 8.90
Jain (2016) (Poly herbal vs NaF)	-0.38 (-0.89, 0.13) 8.94
Megalaa (2018) (Ocimum sanctum)	-0.68 (-1.31, -0.04) 8.79
Megalaa (2018) (Terminalia chebula)	-0.74 (-1.39, -0.10) 8.78
Pathi (2021) (aqueous extract of Glycyrrhiza glabra)	-2.17 (-3.08, -1.25) 8.37
Pathi (2021) (alcoholic extract of Glycyrrhiza glabra)	-0.39 (-1.11, 0.34) 8.67
Helmy (2021) (Glycyrrhiza glabra)	0.17 (-0.38, 0.71) 8 90
Overall (I-squared = 94.6%, p = 0.000)	-1.16 (-2.03, -0.29)  100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	r – 0.009
-12.6 0 Favors Herbal	12.6 Favors Control

B

A

Subgroup analysis for Immediate Effect (according to control)

Study	SMD (95% CI)	%Weigh
Placebo		
Bhat (2012) (Salvadora persica)	-9.43 (-12.64, -6.23)	4.09
Nayak (2012) (Terminalia chebula)	-3.97 (-4.85, -3.09)	8.42
Subtotal (I-squared = 90.4%, p = 0.001)	-6.48 (-11.81, -1.14)	12.51
	P = 0.017	
Chlorhexidine		
Jain (2013) (aqueous extract of Glycyrrhiza glabra)	<ul> <li>◆</li> <li>-1.45 (-2.15, -0.75)</li> </ul>	8.70
Jain (2013) (alcoholic extract of Glycyrrhiza glabra)	◆ -1.12 (-1.79, -0.45)	8.75
Jain (2016) (Poly herbal vs CHX)	<ul> <li>◆ 2.80 (2.08, 3.52)</li> </ul>	8.68
Pathi (2021) (aqueous extract of Glycyrrhiza glabra)	◆ -2.17 (-3.08, -1.25)	8.37
Pathi (2021) (alcoholic extract of Glycyrrhiza glabra)	-0.39 (-1.11, 0.34)	8.67
Helmy (2021) (Glycyrrhiza glabra)	0.17 (-0.38, 0.71)	8.90
Subtotal (I-squared = 95.3%, p = 0.000)	-0.35 (-1.66, 0.96)	52.08
	P= 0.601	
Other conventional antiplaque products except CHX		
Jain (2016) (Poly herbal MW vs Essential oil based MW)	◆ -1.13 (-1.68, -0.59)	8.90
Jain (2016) (Poly herbal vs NaF)	• -0.38 (-0.89, 0.13)	8.94
Megalaa (2018) (Ocimum sanctum)	-0.68 (-1.31, -0.04)	8.79
Megalaa (2018) (Terminalia chebula)	-0.74 (-1.39, -0.10)	8.78
Subtotal (I-squared = 23.3%, p = 0.271)	-0.73 (-1.06, -0.40)	35.41
	P< 0.001	
Overall (I-squared = 94.6%, p = 0.000)	-1.16 (-2.03, -0.29)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	P= 0.009	

Figure 2. Forest plot of comparison: aqueous and alcoholic herbal extracts vs A) all controls B) subgroups (placebo, chlorhexidine, other conventional antiplaque products); SM= Streptococcus mutans; CHX= Chlorhexidine; NaF= sodium fluoride; MW= mouthwash

#### Α

#### Long-term Effect on Salivary SM (Herbal vs Control)

Study	SMD (95% CI) %Weight
Khalessi (2004) (Salvadora persica)	-0.75 (-1.53, 0.02) 4.06
Chavan (2010) (Allium sativum vs CHX)	-1.51 (-2.33, -0.69) 4.03
Chavan (2010) (Allium sativum vs PbO)	-2.21 (-3.13, -1.29) 3.95
Agarwal (2011) (Ocimum sanctum vs CHX)	0.79 (0.05, 1.54) 4.09
Agarwal (2011) (Ocimum sanctum vs Lis.)	0.70 (-0.04, 1.44) 4.09
Campus (2011) (Magnolia officinalis vs Xyl)	-0.12 (-0.56, 0.32) 4.27
Campus (2011) (Magnolia officinalis vs PbO)	-0.21 (-0.66, 0.23) 4.27
Mehta (2013) (Freshol)	-0.39 (-0.95, 0.16) 4.21
Nobrega (2015) (Punica granatum)	1.07 (0.36, 1.78) 4.11
Jain (2016) (Poly herbal MW vs CHX)	-0.46 (-0.98, 0.05) 4.23
Jain (2016) (Poly herbal MW vs E.O. based MW)	-4.31 (-5.24, -3.37) 3.94
Jain (2016) (Poly herbal MW vs NaF)	-4.19 (-5.11, -3.28) 3.95
<ul> <li>Sharma (2017) (Calotropis gigantea vs CHX)</li> </ul>	1.88 (1.13, 2.64) 4.08
Sharma (2017) (Calotropis gigantea vs Lis.)	1.14 (0.47, 1.81) 4.14
Hegde (2017) (Camellia sinensis)	0.87 (0.28, 1.46) 4.19
Megalaa (2018) (Ocimum sanctum)	-0.62 (-1.26, 0.01) 4.16
Megalaa (2018) (Terminalia chebula)	-0.90 (-1.55, -0.25) 4.15
Singla (2018) (Punica granatum)	-21.89 (-29.09, -14.68) 0.58
Singla (2018) (Vitis vinifera)	-34.97 (-46.43, -23.51) 0.25
Singla (2018) (Psidiuum guajava)	-13.97 (-18.62, -9.32) 1.17
Khoramian Tusi (2018) (Teucrium polium)	-1.16 (-2.11, -0.20) 3.92
Khoramian Tusi (2020) (Teucrium polium)	-1.77 (-2.77, -0.77) 3.88
Dandekar (2021) (Azadirachta indica)	0.38 (-0.13, 0.89) 4.23
Dandekar (2021) (Mangifera indica)	-0.12 (-0.63, 0.38) 4.24
Helmy (2021) (Glycyrrhiza glabra)	0.18 (-0.36, 0.72) 4.22
Rao (2021) (Carica papaya vs Kidodent)	-1.86 (-2.73, -1.00) 3.99
Rao (2021) (Carica papaya vs PbO)	4.23 (2.91, 5.55) 3.58
Overall (I-squared = $94.2\%$ , p = $0.000$ )	-0.76 (-1.35, -0.17) 100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	<b>P= 0.011</b>
	1
-40.4 0 Favors Herbal	Favors Control

## <sup>B</sup>Subgroup analysis for Long-term Effect on Salivary SM (according to control)

Study	SMD (95% CI) %Weight
Placebo	
Khalessi (2004) (Salvadora persica)	-0.75 (-1.53, 0.02) 4.06
Chavan (2010) (Allium sativum vs PbO)	-2.21 (-3.13, -1.29) 3.95
Campus (2011) (Magnolia officinalis vs PbO)	-0.21 (-0.66, 0.23) 4.27
Singla (2018) (Punica granatum)	-21.89 (-29.09, -14.68) 0.58
Singla (2018) (Vitis vinifera)	-34.97 (-46.43, -23.51) 0.25
Singla (2018) (Psidiuum guajava)	-13.97 (-18.62, -9.32) 1.17
Khoramian Tusi (2018) (Teucrium polium)	-1.16 (-2.11, -0.20) 3.92
Khoramian Tusi (2020) (Teucrium polium)	-1.77 (-2.77, -0.77) 3.88
Rao (2021) (Carica papava vs PbO)	4.23 (2.91, 5.55) 3.58
Subtotal (I-squared = 95.4%, p = 0.000)	<b>-3.03 (-4.83, -1.24)</b> 25.67
Chlashanidina	P = 0.001
Chavan (2010) (Allium satiyum vs CHX)	-1.51 (-2.33, -0.69) 4.03
Agarwal (2011) (Ocimum sanctum vs CHX)	0.79 (0.05, 1.54) 4.09
Mehta (2013) (Freshol)	-0.39 (-0.95, 0.16) 4.21
Nobrega (2015) (Punica granatum)	1.07 (0.36, 1.78) 4.11
Iain (2016) (Poly herbal MW vs CHX)	-0.46 (-0.98, 0.05) 4.23
Sharma (2017) (Calotronis gigantea vs CHX)	1.88 (1.13, 2.64) 4.08
Hegde (2017) (Camellia sinensis)	0.87(0.28, 1.46) 4.19
Dandekar (2021) (Azadirachta indica)	0.38 (-0.13, 0.89) 4.23
Dandekar (2021) (Mangifera indica)	-0.12(-0.63, 0.38) 4.24
Helmy (2021) (Glycyrrhiza glabra)	0.18 (-0.36, 0.72) 4.22
Subtotal (Leguered = $85.5\%$ , p = 0.000)	0.18(-0.50, 0.72) 41.63
Subtotal (1-squared – 85.5 %, p – 0.000)	0.20 (-0.24, 0.70) 41.05
Other conventional antiplaque products except CHX	P= 0.307
Agarwal (2011) (Ocimum sanctum vs Lis.)	0.70 (-0.04, 1.44) 4.09
Campus (2011) (Magnolia officinalis vs Xyl)	-0.12 (-0.56, 0.32) 4.27
Jain (2016) (Poly herbal MW vs E.O. based MW)	-4.31 (-5.24, -3.37) 3.94
Jain (2016) (Poly herbal MW vs NaF)	-4.19 (-5.11, -3.28) 3.95
Sharma (2017) (Calotropis gigantea vs Lis.)	1.14 (0.47, 1.81) 4.14
Megalaa (2018) (Ocimum sanctum)	-0.62 (-1.26, 0.01) 4.16
Megalaa (2018) (Terminalia chebula)	-0.90 (-1.55, -0.25) 4.15
Rao (2021) (Carica papaya vs Kidodent)	-1.86 (-2.73, -1.00) 3.99
Subtotal (I-squared = $95.9\%$ , p = $0.000$ )	-1.24 (-2.44, -0.04) 32.70
	P=0.043
Overall (I-squared = 94.2%, p = 0.000)	-0.76 (-1.35, -0.17) 100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	P = 0.011
-46.4 0	46.4
Favors Herbal	Favors Control

Figure 3. Forest plot of comparison: aqueous and alcoholic herbal extract vs A) all controls B) subgroups (Placebo, Chlorhexidine, other conventional antiplaque products); SM= *Streptococcus mutans*; CHX= Chlorhexidine; PbO= Placebo; E.O. = Essential oil; Lis. = Listerine; Xyl= Xylitol; NaF= sodium fluoride

Study		SMD (95% CI)	%Weight
Khairnar (2015) (Vaccinium macrocarpon)	+	0.50 (-0.06, 1.07)	16.99
Beheshti-Rouy (2015) (Salvia officinalis)	+	-2.88 (-3.55, -2.20)	16.70
Siddeshappa (2018) (HiOra)	-	-2.31 (-3.12, -1.50)	16.29
Srilekha (2018) (Punica granatum and Salvadora persica)	+	0.76 (-0.15, 1.67)	15.95
Nimbulkar (2020) (Azadirachta indica)	+	0.28 (-0.23, 0.79)	17.12
Kamath (2021) (Camellia sinensis)	+	-0.79 (-1.36, -0.21)	16.96
Overall (I-squared = 94.8%, p = 0.000)	$\diamond$	-0.73 (-1.89, 0.42)	100.00
		P = 0.231	
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis			
Favor	-3.55 0 s Herbal	3.55 Favors Control	

#### Long-term Effect on SM of Plaque (Herbal vs Control)

#### B

Α

Subgroup analysis for Long-term Effect on SM of Plaque (according to control)

Study		SMD (95% CI)	%Weight
Chlorhexidine			
Khairnar (2015) (Vaccinium macrocarpon)	+	0.50 (-0.06, 1.07)	16.99
Siddeshappa (2018) (HiOra)	-	-2.31 (-3.12, -1.50)	16.29
Srilekha (2018) (Punica granatum and Salvadora persid	ca)	- 0.76 (-0.15, 1.67)	15.95
Nimbulkar (2020) (Azadirachta indica)	+	0.28 (-0.23, 0.79)	17.12
Kamath (2021) (Camellia sinensis)	+	-0.79 (-1.36, -0.21)	16.96
Subtotal ( <b>I-squared = 90.9%, p = 0.000</b> )	$\diamond$	-0.30 (-1.25, 0.65)	83.30
		P = 0.535	
Placebo			
Beheshti-Rouy (2015) (Salvia officinalis)	<b>~</b>	-2.88 (-3.55, -2.20)	16.70
Subtotal (I-squared =. %, p =.)	$\diamond$	-2.88 (-3.55, -2.20)	16.70
		P < 0.001	
Overall (I-squared = 94.8%, p = 0.000)	$\diamond$	-0.73 (-1.89, 0.42)	100.00
	Ĭ	P = 0.231	
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis			
	-3.55 0	3.55	
]	Favors Herbal	Favors Control	

Figure 4. Forest plot of comparison: aqueous and alcoholic herbal extracts vs A) all controls B) subgroups (Placebo, chlorhexidine); SM = Streptococcus mutans

10 trials Among that used chlorhexidine, only one RCT showed significant superiority of a herbal extract (garlic) in reduction of SM compared to chlorhexidine, which was extremely different in demographic characteristics of participants from all the others as researchers enrolled dental students (Chavan et al., 2010). Two studies compared herbal products vs sodium

fluoride and results significantly favored herbal products (Jain and Jain, 2016; Megalaa et al., 2018). However, two other trials favored Listerine ® over herbal products (Agarwal and Nagesh, 2011; Sharma et al., 2017).

#### Long-term effect on SM level of plaque:

Pooled results of six studies (Beheshti-Rouy et al., 2015; Kamath et al., 2021; Khairnar et al., 2015; Nimbulkar et al., 2020; Siddeshappa et al., 2018; Srilekha and Prabakar, 2018) indicated a non-significant effect for herbal extracts over chlorhexidine in reducing SM of plaque [SMD -0.30, 95% CI (-1.25 to 0.65)] (Figure 4a, b).

The association between SM count of supra gingival plaque and dental caries is stronger in children than adults (Bhaumik et al., 2021); however, two trials enrolled children among the studies (Beheshti-Rouy et al., 2015; Kamath et al., 2021).

#### **Adverse effects**

Jain et al. (2013) and Dandekar et al. (2021) suggested herbal mouthwash (*Glycyrrhiza glabra*, *Azadirachta indica*, and *Mangifera indica*) as a better-tasting alternative for chlorhexidine since it was more tolerable to children. Kamath et al. (2021) mentioned no lingering after taste for green tea (Camellia sinensis), whereas Agarwal et al. (2011), Kerdar et al. (2019), Khoramian Tusi et al. (2020) and Mishra et al. (2019) received complaints about bitter taste of herbal products (Ocimum sanctum, Teucrium polium, Scrophularia striata, and Vitis vinifera) which could be explained their employed by concentration. Nobrega et al. (2015) and Srinagesh et al. (2012) observed taste disturbance, lingering after taste and tooth staining as chlorhexidine side effects among participants.

#### Quality assessment

Twenty-one trials with high risk of bias, 11 with an unclear risk and 25 with low risk were included in our systematic review (Table 2). The overall quality of evidence was considered low since inconsistency was regarded serious (Table 3).

Table 3. Quality assessment using GRADE approach

<i>Certainty assessment</i> No. of studies	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication bias	Certainty
Immediate Effect on Salivary SM <sup>1</sup> : 7	not serious	serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	
Long-term effect on Salivary SM: 16 Long term effect on	not serious	serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	$\underset{\text{Low}}{\oplus \oplus} \bigcirc \bigcirc$
SM of Plaque: 6	not serious	serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	$\stackrel{\oplus \oplus}{\underset{\text{Low}}{}} \bigcirc \bigcirc$

1: SM= Streptococcus mutans

## Discussion

Despite the preventable nature of untreated dental caries and recent decrease in their occurrence in high-income countries, they are still the most common health problem with a global burden similar to that of 30 years ago. This could be explained with unequal socioeconomic pattern and hence growing prevalence in disadvantaged communities (Kassebaum et al., 2017; Peres et al., 2019). Herein, we aimed to realize whether aqueous and alcoholic herbal extracts harbor equivalent efficacy as chemical antibacterial oral care agents in order to meet the needs of large population especially in low- and middleincome countries through the present meta-analysis.

Meta-analysis done based on immediate and long-term effects indicated that herbal products are generally superior to nonherbals in terms of salivary SM reduction. However, herbal products did not show significant difference in antibacterial potency compared to chlorhexidine (as the standard). Considering gold adverse of chlorhexidine (e.g. effects taste disturbance, dry mouth or xerostomia, tooth staining, antibacterial resistance, etc.), herbal products with minimal side acceptance effects and high level demonstrate potential as antibacterial oral

care products (Brookes et al., 2020; Moshrefi, 2002). During our review of included studies, we found 3 trials using Listerine ® which did not favor herbal products (Ocimum sanctum, Calotropis gigantea and Scrophularia striata) in reduction of salivary SM over Listerine ® (Agarwal and Nagesh, 2011; Kerdar et al., 2019; Sharma et al., 2017). On the contrary, we observed substantial evidence on SM reduction by herbal products compared to sodium fluoride within 3 trials (Jain and Jain, 2016; Jauhari et al., 2015; Megalaa et al., 2018). All these were strongly affected results by heterogeneity.

Janakiram et al. (2020) claimed no significant difference in reduction of Plaque index (PI) and Gingival index (GI) between herbal mouthwashes and chlorhexidine after 4 weeks of consumption. Meanwhile, chlorhexidine favored herbal mouthwash in reduction of PI and GI at 12 weeks. These results are somehow consistent with our study since the consumption period was not over 4 weeks in the studies included in our metaanalysis. Yet. this highlights the importance of prolonged consumptions. However, as Janakiram et al. (2020) focused on PI and GI, which their changes were found to be associated with SM count less than other bacterial species (Schaeken et al., 1987), compatibility of their review with ours should be considered with cautious. Despite stronger association between SM count of supra gingival plaque and dental caries in children than adults (Bhaumik et al., 2021), Janakiram et al. (2020) excluded children whom have great value for our study.

Our findings are in line with results reported by Jacob et al. (2018) showing no higher antibacterial potency for herbal products compared to chlorhexidine. Nonetheless, due to high heterogeneity and low quality of evidence we could not assure the substitution of chlorhexidine with the present knowledge.

Furgium do Santo Cardosn et al. (2021) investigated RCTs focusing on periodontal and gingival indices and revealed Camellia sinensis as the most common herb for treatment of biofilmassociated pathologies which was employed by the studies included in the present review. But the studies included in the present review provided controversial results in terms of superiority of *Camellia* over conventional products sinensis (Hegde and Kamath, 2017; Kamath et al., 2021; Tehrani et al., 2011). Furthermore, Furgium do Santo Cardosn et al. (2021) observed that Azadirachta indica similarly affects periodontal indices compared to chlorhexidine. We also found 4 RCTs using Azadirachta indica throughout our review which suggested that Azadirachta indica and chlorhexidine had comparable potency on bacterial reduction (Botelho et al., 2008; Dandekar and Winnier, 2021; Nimbulkar et al., 2020; Pai et al., 2004; Patil et al., 2010; Selvaraj et al., 2020).

Our results are compatible with those published by Karygianni et al. (2015) who investigated the effect of medicinal plants on multispecies oral biofilm across laboratory studies and subsequently suggested them as supplement.

Although the presence of diverse molecules and active ingredients with various mechanisms of action in herbal products brings less bacterial resistance, broad-spectrum of action may occur which may lead to nonspecific unknown impacts on our body (Ferrazzano et al., 2011a; Furquim dos Santos Cardoso et al., 2021; Ribeiro et al., 2018). We tried to alleviate the severity of this issue by focusing on herbal extracts that are prepared with specified solvents (water and alcohol), as a result controlling the diversity of bioactive compounds.

In addition, there was a lack of consistency in the intervention modality (e.g. frequency and duration of consumption, various dilutions of sample and incubation periods, etc.) and extensive difference in characteristics of participants (in terms of age, socioeconomic level, caries risk and oral hygiene). These all caused difficulties in analysis and interpretation of data. For instance, at least 48 hours of incubation period is required to accurately detect SM colonies (Wan et al., 2002). As a result, the diversity in incubation period can make data analysis challenging.

According to a review by Bhaumik et al. (2021), there is a stronger association between SM count of plaque samples and children caries in than adults. Nevertheless, only within two trials, the plaque sample was collected from children. Kamath et al. (2021) reported a significant superiority of herbal product (Camellia sinensis) over chlorhexidine in children.

The substantial heterogeneity identified in our analysis is of potential concern. It may be attributed to the variety of plants that were used to prepare herbal products among the studies included in our review which leads to serious discrepancy. Unfortunately, there was a lack of evidence on side-effects in some of the included studies. All the above makes it difficult to draw specific conclusion.

There is a need to develop a standard uniform methodology (e.g. proposing unified bacterial counting methods and unified protocols for duration, quantity and frequency of daily consumption, unifying participants' characterization, etc.) to provide precise information and gain more realistic view on the efficacy of herbal extracts. Besides, the effectiveness is better to be compared with chlorhexidine and be assessed in high-caries-risk participants to avoid overestimation of the effectiveness.

In light of possible lack of information on side-effects, further studies should address this issue by evaluating the safety of clinical usage at prolonged periods of time. Moreover, future studies are desired to measure the International Caries Detection and Assessment System (ICDAS) throughout an extended period of time since it is a better reflection of caries control capability. Reduction of heterogeneity could be sought through focusing on a single type of medicinal plant and its effectiveness in future metaanalyses.

Aqueous and alcoholic herbal extractscontaining products seem to have more advantages in terms of effectiveness and safety over conventional non-herbal products; however, their effectiveness is significantly not greater than chlorhexidine. Concerning high level of heterogeneity, these results should be interpreted caution. with There is insufficient evidence, despite some lowquality evidence, to reach a definite conclusion recommend a substitution of conventional standard oral care products. Obviously, future high-quality trials are required to assert the safety and effectiveness of antibacterial herbal care products for preventing dental caries.

## Acknowledgment

We would like to express our gratitude to Dr. Abolfazl Avan (clinical research associate at The University of Western Ontario, Canada) for his critical revision to this manuscript.

## **Conflicts of interest**

The authors have declared that there is no conflict of interest.

## References

- Abubakar AR, Haque M. 2020. Preparation of medicinal plants: Basic extraction and fractionation procedures for experimental purposes. J Pharm Bioallied Sci, 12: 1-10.
- Agarwal P, Nagesh L. 2011. Comparative evaluation of efficacy of 0.2% Chlorhexidine, Listerine and Tulsi extract mouth rinses on salivary Streptococcus mutans count of high school children--RCT. Contemp Clin Trials, 32: 802-808.
- Al-Dabbagh SA, Qasim HJ, Al-Derzi NA. 2016. Efficacy of Miswak toothpaste and mouthwash on cariogenic bacteria. Saudi Med J, 37: 1009-1014.

- Al-Ezzi M, Al-Mizrakchi A, Alwaheb A, Bay-San A, Seoudi N, Tappuni A, Al-Ezzi H. 2018. Black and green tea antimicrobial effect on Mutans streptococci and Lactobacilli. J Dent Res, 5: 36-54.
- Almaz ME, Sonmez IS, Okte Z, Oba AA. 2017. Efficacy of a sugar-free herbal lollipop for reducing salivary Streptococcus mutans levels: a randomized controlled trial. Clin Oral Investig, 21: 839-845.
- Bajaj N, Tandon S. 2011. The effect of Triphala and Chlorhexidine mouthwash on dental plaque, gingival inflammation, and microbial growth. Int J Ayurveda Res, 2: 29-36.
- Barnett ML. 2006. The rationale for the daily use of an antimicrobial mouthrinse. J Am Dent Assoc, 137: 16s-21s.
- Beheshti-Rouy M, Azarsina M, Rezaie-Soufi L, Alikhani MY, Roshanaie G, Komaki S. 2015. The antibacterial effect of sage extract (Salvia officinalis) mouthwash against Streptococcus mutans in dental plaque: a randomized clinical trial. Iran J Microbiol, 7: 173-177.
- Bhat P, Kumar A, Sarkar S. 2012. Assessment of immediate antimicrobial effect of miswak extract and toothbrush oncariogenic bacteria – A clinical study. J Adv Oral Res, 3: 25-29.
- Bhat SS, Hegde KS, Mathew C, Bhat SV, Shyamjith M. 2017. Comparative evaluation of Mangifera indica leaf mouthwash with chlorhexidine on plaque accumulation, gingival inflammation, and salivary streptococcal growth. Indian J Dent Res, 28: 151-155.
- Bhaumik D, Manikandan D, Foxman B. 2021. Cariogenic and oral health taxa in the oral cavity among children and adults: A scoping review. Arch Oral Biol, 129: 105204.
- Borenstein M, Hedges LV, Higgins JP, Rothstein HR. 2021. Introduction to metaanalysis. John Wiley & Sons.
- Botelho M, Araujo R, Costa JG, Carvalho C, Paz M, Azenha C, Azenha C, Ruela R, Queiroz D, Ruela W, Marinho G, Ruela F. 2008. Efficacy of a mouthrinse based on leaves of the neem tree (Azadirachta indica) in the treatment of patients with chronic gingivitis: A double-blind, randomized, controlled trial. J Med Plant Res, 2: 341-346.

- Brookes ZLS, Bescos R, Belfield LA, Ali K, Roberts A. 2020. Current uses of chlorhexidine for management of oral disease: a narrative review. J Dent, 103, 103497.
- Campus G, Cagetti MG, Cocco F, Sale S, Sacco G, Strohmenger L, Lingström P. 2011. Effect of a sugar-free chewing gum containing magnolia bark extract on different variables related to caries and gingivitis: a randomized controlled intervention trial. Caries Res, 45: 393-399.
- Chandrashekar BR, Nagarajappa R, Jain R, Suma S, Mruthunjaya K, Thakur R. 2019a. Evaluating the antimicrobial efficacy of an innovative, novel herbal formulation on dental caries and plaque microorganisms - A clinical research. Biomed Pharmacol J, 12: 1633-1645.
- Chandrashekar BR, Nagarajappa R, Mruthunjaya K, Shekar S, Girish MS, Thakur R. 2019b. Antiplaque and antimicrobial efficacy of polyherbal mouth rinse among adult human volunteers – A short term randomized controlled trial. J Herb Med, 17-18: 100273.
- Chavan SD, Shetty NL, Kanuri M. 2010. Comparative evaluation of garlic extract mouthwash and chlorhexidine mouthwash on salivary Streptococcus mutans count an in vitro study. Oral Health Prev Dent, 8: 369-374.
- Chen Y, Wong RW, Seneviratne CJ, Hagg U, McGrath C, Samaranayake LP. 2013. The effects of natural compounds-containing mouthrinses on patients with fixed orthodontic appliance treatment: clinical and microbiological outcomes. Int J Paediatr Dent, 23: 452-459.
- Dandekar NV, Winnier JJ. 2021. Assessment and evaluation of the effect of neem and mango mouthrinses on S. mutans count in vitro and in children. J Herb Med, 29: 100469.
- Dentino AR, Kassab MM, Renner EJ. 2005. Prevention of periodontal diseases. Dent Clin North Am, 49: 573-594.
- Farnsworth NR, Akerele O, Bingel AS, Soejarto DD, Guo Z. 1985. Medicinal plants in therapy. Bull World Health Organ, 63: 965-981.
- Ferrazzano GF, Amato I, Ingenito A, Zarrelli A, Pinto G, Pollio A. 2011a. Plant

polyphenols and their anti-cariogenic properties: a review. Molecules, 16: 1486-1507.

- Ferrazzano GF, Cantile T, Roberto L, Ingenito A, Catania MR, Roscetto E, Palumbo G, Zarrelli A, Pollio A. 2015. Determination of the in vitro and in vivo antimicrobial activity on salivary Streptococci and Lactobacilli and chemical characterisation of the phenolic content of a Plantago lanceolata infusion. Biomed Res Int, 2015: 286817.
- Ferrazzano GF, Roberto L, Amato I, Cantile T, Sangianantoni G, Ingenito A. 2011b. Antimicrobial properties of green tea extract against cariogenic microflora: an in vivo study. J Med Food, 14: 907-911.
- Figuero E, Herrera D, Tobías A, Serrano J, Roldán S, Escribano M, Martín C. 2019. Efficacy of adjunctive anti-plaque chemical agents in managing gingivitis: A systematic review and network metaanalyses. J Clin Periodontol, 46: 723-739.
- Furquim dos Santos Cardoso V, Amaral Roppa RH, Antunes C, Silva Moraes AN, Santi L, Konrath EL. 2021. Efficacy of medicinal plant extracts as dental and periodontal antibiofilm agents: A systematic review of randomized clinical trials. J Ethnopharmacol, 281: 114541.
- Ganapathi A, Prabakar J. 2019. Comparing the antibacterial efficacy of 0.2% chlorhexidine mouthwash and 1% stevia extract on oral microflora - An in vivo study. Drug Invent Today, 12: 2637-2641.
- Gao Q, Li X, Huang H, Guan Y, Mi Q, Yao J. 2018. The efficacy of a chewing gum containing phyllanthus emblica fruit extract in improving oral health. Curr Microbiol, 75: 604-610.
- Hegde RJ, Kamath S. 2017. Comparison of the Streptococcus mutans and Lactobacillus colony count changes in saliva following chlorhexidine (0.12%) mouth rinse, combination mouth rinse, and green tea extract (0.5%) mouth rinse in children. J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent, 35: 150-155.
- Helmy N, Hafez S, Farid A. 2021. Efficacy of Licorice on Salivary Streptococcus mutans levels vs chlorhexidine mouthwash in high caries risk patients: A randomized clinical trial. J Contemp Dent Pract, 22: 914-921.
- Higgins JP, Savović J, Page MJ, Elbers RG, Sterne JA. 2019. Chapter 8: Assessing

risk of bias in a randomized trial. In: Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, Welch VA (editors). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 6.3 (updated February 2022). Cochrane, 2022.

- Homoki J, Gyémánt G, Balogh P, Stündl L, Bíró-Molnár P, Paholcsek M, Váradi J, Ferenc F, Kelentey B, Nemes J, Remenyik J. 2018. Sour cherry extract inhibits human salivary α-amylase and growth of Streptococcus mutans (a pilot clinical study). Food Funct, 9: 4008-4016.
- Jacob B, Nivedhitha MS. 2018. Comparative Assessment of the antibacterial efficacy of natural products and chlorhexidine mouthwash against streptococcus mutans: A systematic review. J Clin Diagn Res, 12: 1-7.
- Jain E, Pandey RK, Khanna R. 2013. Liquorice root extracts as potent cariostatic agents in pediatric practice. J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent, 31: 146-152.
- Jain I, Jain P. 2016. Comparative evaluation of antimicrobial efficacy of three different formulations of mouth rinses with multiherbal mouth rinse. J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent, 34: 315-323.
- Janakiram C, Venkitachalam R, Fontelo P, Iafolla TJ, Dye BA. 2020. Effectiveness of herbal oral care products in reducing dental plaque & gingivitis - a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Complement Med Ther, 20: 43.
- Jauhari D, Srivastava N, Rana V, Chandna P. 2015. Comparative evaluation of the effects of fluoride mouthrinse, herbal mouthrinse and oil pulling on the caries activity and Streptococcus mutans count using oratest and dentocult SM strip mutans kit. Int J Clin Pediatr Dent, 8: 114-118.
- Kale S, Kakodkar P, Shetiya S, Abdulkader R. 2020. Prevalence of dental caries among children aged 5-15 years from 9 countries in the Eastern Mediterranean Region: a meta-analysis. East Mediterr Health J, 26: 726-735.
- Kamath S, Hegde R, Kamath N. 2021. Comparison of the Streptococcus mutans colony count changes in plaque following chlorhexidine (0.12%) mouth rinse and green tea extract (0.5%) mouth rinse in 8-12-year-old children. J Indian Soc Pedod

Prev Dent, 39: 310-315.

- Karygianni L, Al-Ahmad A, Argyropoulou A, Hellwig E, Anderson AC, Skaltsounis AL. 2015. Natural antimicrobials and oral microorganisms: A systematic review on herbal interventions for the eradication of multispecies oral biofilms. Front Microbiol, 6: 1529.
- Kassebaum NJ, Smith AGC, Bernabé E, Fleming TD, Reynolds AE, Vos T, Murray CJL, Marcenes W. 2017. Global, regional, and national prevalence, disability-adjusted life incidence. and years for oral conditions for 195 countries, 1990-2015: Α systematic analysis for the Global burden of diseases, injuries, and risk factors. J Dent Res, 96: 380-387.
- Kazeminia M, Abdi A, Shohaimi S, Jalali R, Vaisi-Raygani A, Salari N, Mohammadi M. 2020. Dental caries in primary and permanent teeth in children's worldwide, 1995 to 2019: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Head Face Med, 16: 22.
- Kerdar T, Rabienejad N, Alikhani Y, Moradkhani S, Dastan D. 2019. Clinical, in vitro and phytochemical, studies of Scrophularia striata mouthwash on chronic periodontitis disease. J Ethnopharmacol, 239: 111872.
- Khairnar MR, Karibasappa GN, Dodamani AS. Vishwakarma P. Naik RG. Deshmukh MA. 2015. Comparative of Cranberry assessment and mouthwash Chlorhexidine on streptococcal colonization among dental students: A randomized parallel clinical trial. Contemp Clin Dent, 6: 35-39.
- Khalessi AM, Pack ARC, Thomson WM, Tompkins GR. 2004. An in vivo study of the plaque control efficacy of Persica<sup>™</sup>: A commercially available herbal mouthwash containing extracts of Salvadora persica. Int Dent J, 54: 279-283.
- Khoramian Tusi S, Jafari A, Marashi SMA, Faramarzi Niknam S, Farid M. 2018. Effect of teucrium polium-containing chewing gum on reducing salivary streptococcus mutans counts. J Mashhad Dent Sch, 42: 141-150.
- Khoramian Tusi S, Jafari A, Marashi SMA, Faramarzi Niknam S, Farid M, Ansari M. 2020. The effect of antimicrobial activity of Teucrium Polium on oral

Streptococcus Mutans: a randomized cross-over clinical trial study. BMC Oral Health, 20: 130

- Kumar A, Kumar T, Jha A, Kishore J, Barua AD, Rangari P. 2020. Cariostatic effcacy of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of liquorice in the schoolchildren: In vivo comparative study. J Contemp Dent Pract, 21: 575-579.
- Macfarlane TV, Blinkhorn AS, Davies RM, Kincey J, Worthington HV. 2002. Orofacial pain in the community: prevalence and associated impact. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol, 30: 52-60.
- Mathur VP, Dhillon JK. 2018. Dental caries: A disease which needs attention. Indian J Pediatr, 85: 202-206.
- Matsumoto M, Tsuji M, Okuda J, Sasaki H, Nakano K, Osawa K, Shimura S, Ooshima T. 2004. Inhibitory effects of cacao bean husk extract on plaque formation in vitro and in vivo. Eur J Oral Sci, 112: 249-252.
- Megalaa N, Thirumurugan K, Kayalvizhi G, Sajeev R, Kayalvizhi EB, Ramesh V, Vargeese A. 2018. A comparative evaluation of the anticaries efficacy of herbal extracts (Tulsi and Black myrobalans) and sodium fluoride as mouthrinses in children: A randomized controlled trial. Indian J Dent Res, 29: 760-767.
- Mehta S, Pesapathy S, Joseph M, Tiwari PK, Chawla S. 2013. Comparative evaluation of a herbal mouthwash (Freshol) with chlorhexidine on plaque accumulation, gingival inflammation, and salivary Streptococcus mutans growth. J Int Soc Prev Community Dent, 3: 25-28.
- Mishra P, Marwah N, Agarwal N, Chaturvedi Y, Suohu T. 2019. Comparison of Punica granatum, Terminalia chebula, and Vitis vinifera seed extracts used as Mouthrinse on Salivary Streptococcus mutans levels in children. J Contemp Dent Pract, 20: 920-927.
- Moshrefi A. 2002. Chlorhexidine. J West Soc Periodontol Periodontal Abstr, 50: 5-9.
- Nayak SS, Ankola AV, Metgud SC, Bolmal U. 2012. Effectiveness of mouthrinse formulated from ethanol extract of Terminalia chebula fruit on salivary Streptococcus mutans among 12 to 15 year old school children of Belgaum city: a randomized field trial. J Indian Soc

Pedod Prev Dent, 30: 231-236.

- Nayak SS, Kumar BRA, Ankola AV, Hebbal M. 2010. The Efficacy of Terminalia chebula Rinse on Streptococcus mutans Count in Saliva and Its Effect on Salivary pH. Oral Health Prev Dent, 8: 55-58.
- Nimbulkar G, Garacha V, Shetty V, Bhor K, Srivastava KC, Shrivastava D, Sghaireen MG. 2020. Microbiological and clinical evaluation of Neem gel and Chlorhexidine gel on dental plaque and Gingivitis in 20-30 years old adults: A randomized parallel-armed, doubleblinded controlled trial. J Pharm Bioallied Sci, 12: S345-S351.
- Nobrega DRD, Santos RL, Soares RDC, Alves PM, Medeiros ACD, Pereira JV. 2015. A randomized, controlled clinical trial on the clinical and microbiological efficacy of Punica granatum Linn mouthwash. Pesqui Bras Odontopediatria Clin Integr, 15: 301-308.
- Osso D, Kanani N. 2013. Antiseptic mouth rinses: an update on comparative effectiveness, risks and recommendations. J Dent Hyg, 87: 10-18.
- Oznurhan F, Buldur B, Carti O, Tutar U, Celik C, Hepokur C. 2019. Antimicrobial efficacy of chlorhexidine and licorice mouthwashes in children. Meandros Med Dental J, 20: 13-19.
- Page MJ, Higgins JPT, Sterne JAC. 2019. Chapter 13: Assessing risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis. In: Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, Welch VA (editors). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 6.3 (updated February 2022). Cochrane, 2022.
- Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, Shamseer L, Tetzlaff JM, Akl EA, Brennan SE, Chou R, Glanville J, Grimshaw JM, Hróbjartsson A, Lalu MM, Li T, Loder EW, Mayo-Wilson E, McDonald S, McGuinness LA, Stewart LA, Thomas J, Tricco AC, Welch VA, Whiting P, Moher D. 2021. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ, 2021: 372.
- Pai MR, Acharya LD, Udupa N. 2004. Evaluation of antiplaque activity of Azadirachta indica leaf extract gel--a 6week clinical study. J Ethnopharmacol,

90: 99-103.

- Pathi J, Panigrahi K, Mohapatra I, Tripathy R. 2021. A comparative assessment of the antibacterial efficacy of licorice mouthrinse with chlorhexidine on salivary streptococcus mutans. J Evol Med Dent Sci, 10: 1042-1047.
- Patil S, Venkataraghavan K, Anantharaj A, Patil S. 2010. Comparison of two commercially available toothpastes on the salivary streptococcus mutans count in urban preschool children -an in vivo study. Int Dent S Afr, 12: 72-81.
- Peres MA, Macpherson LMD, Weyant RJ, Daly B, Venturelli R, Mathur MR, Listl S, Celeste RK, Guarnizo-Herreño CC, Kearns C, Benzian H, Allison P, Watt RG. 2019. Oral diseases: a global public health challenge. Lancet, 394: 249-260.
- Pinni J, Sankar Avula JS, Mukthineni S, Bandi S, Gokul T. 2018. Antimicrobial activity of pomegranate (Punica Granatum) pericarp extract against Streptococcus mutans- A source for natural mouth rinse: An in-vitro and in-vivo study. Biomed Pharmacol J, 11: 2025-2030.
- Preethy NA, Somasundaram S. 2021. Antimicrobial efficacy of vaccinium macrocarpon mouthwash against Steptococcus mutans in dental plaque of caries active children – A randomized controlled trial. Int J Res Pharm Sci, 12: 12-16.
- Rao DG, Havale R, Sara SS, Bemalgi N, Fatima BO, Kumar AY. 2021. Antibacterial efficacy of Carica papaya leaf extract, probiotics, kidodent, and placebo mouthwashes in reduction of salivary Streptococcus mutans: A doubleblinded parallel designed randomized controlled trial. J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent, 39: 291-298.
- Ribeiro M, Malheiro J, Grenho L, Fernandes MH, Simões M. 2018. Cytotoxicity and antimicrobial action of selected phytochemicals against planktonic and sessile Streptococcus mutans. PeerJ, 6: e4872
- Sajadi FS, Farrokhi S, Sharifi M, Saffari F, Sepehri G. 2021. Antibacterial effect of two herbal extracts on the level of salivary streptococcus mutans in children. J Evol Med Dent Sci, 10: 299-304.
- Saxena S, Lakshminarayan N, Gudli S, Kumar M. 2017. Anti bacterial efficacy of

terminalia chebula, Terminalia Bellirica, Embilica officinalis and triphala on salivary Streptococcus mutans count – A linear randomized cross over trial. J Clin Diagn Res, 11: ZC47-ZC51.

- Schaeken MJ, Creugers TJ, Van der Hoeven JS. 1987. Relationship between dental plaque indices and bacteria in dental plaque and those in saliva. J Dent Res, 66: 1499-1502.
- Schünemann HJ, Higgins JP, Vist GE, Glasziou P, Akl EA, Skoetz N, Guyatt GH. 2019. Completing 'Summary of findings' tables and grading the certainty of the evidence. In: Higgins JP, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, Welch VA (editors). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions, pp. 375-402, John Wiley & Sons.
- Selvaraj K, Bharath N, Natarajan R, Dinesh S, Murugesan S, Selvaraj S. 2020.
  Comparative evaluation of antimicrobial efficacy of toothpastes containing probiotic and Neem as primary ingredient on salivary streptococcus mutans in Melmaruvathur population: An in vivo study. J Pharm Bioallied Sci, 12(Suppl 1): S595-s600.
- Selwitz RH, Ismail AI, Pitts NB. 2007. Dental caries. Lancet, 369: 51-59.
- Sharma M, Tandon S, Nayak UA, Kappadi D, Rathore AS, Goyal A. 2017. Calotropis gigantea extract as a potential anticariogenic agents against Streptococcus mutans: An in vivo comparative evaluation. J Conserv Dent, 20: 174-179.
- Shinada K, Tagashira M, Watanabe H, Sopapornamorn P, Kanayama A, Kanda T, Ikeda M, Kawaguchi Y. 2007. Hop bract polyphenols reduced three-day dental plaque regrowth. J Dent Res, 86: 848-851.
- Siddeshappa ST, Bhatnagar S, Yeltiwar RK, Parvez H, Singh A, Banchhor S. 2018. Comparative evaluation of antiplaque and antigingivitis effects of an herbal and chlorine dioxide mouthwashes: A clinicomicrobiological study. Indian J Dent Res, 29: 34-40.
- Singla S, Malhotra R, Nd S, Saxena S. 2018. Antibacterial efficacy of mouthwash prepared from pomegranate, grape seed and guava extracts against oral streptococci: An in vivo study. J Clin

Pediatr Dent, 42: 109-113.

- Srikanth RK, Shashikiran ND, Subba Reddy VV. 2008. Chocolate mouth rinse: Effect on plaque accumulation and mutans streptococci counts when used by children. J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent, 26: 67-70.
- Srilekha M, Prabakar J. 2018. Comparing the antimicrobial effectiveness of Punica granatum and chlorhexidine-containing mouthwash: A single-blind randomized clinical trial. Drug Invention Today, 10: 1544-1549.
- Srinagesh J, Krishnappa P, Somanna SN. 2012. Antibacterial efficacy of triphala against oral streptococci: an in vivo study. Indian J Dent Res, 23: 696.
- Sterne JA, Sutton AJ, Ioannidis JP, Terrin N, Jones DR, Lau J, Carpenter J, Rücker G, Harbord RM, Schmid CH, Tetzlaff J, Deeks JJ, Peters J, Macaskill P, Schwarzer G, Duval S, Altman DG, Moher D, Higgins JP. 2011. Recommendations for examining and interpreting funnel plot asymmetry in meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials. BMJ, 343: d4002.
- Tehrani MH, Asghari G, Hajiahmadi M. 2011. Comparing Streptococcus mutans and Lactobacillus colony count changes following green tea mouth rinse or sodium fluoride mouth rinse use in children (Randomized double-blind controlled clinical trial). Dent Res J, 8(Suppl 1): S58-63.
- Toshniwal SH, Reche A, Bajaj P, Maloo LM. 2022. Status Quo in mechanical plaque control then and now: A review. Cureus, 14: e28613.
- Umar D, Dilshad B, Farhan M, Ali A, Baroudi K. 2016. The effect of pomegranate mouthrinse on Streptococcus mutans count and salivary pH: An in vivo study. J Adv Pharm Technol Res, 7: 13-16.
- Usha C, R S. 2009. Dental caries A complete changeover (Part I). J Conserv Dent, 12: 46-54.
- Van Der Weijden GA, Hioe KP. 2005. A systematic review of the effectiveness of self-performed mechanical plaque removal in adults with gingivitis using a manual toothbrush. J Clin Periodontol, 32: 214-228.
- Velmurugan A, Madhubala MM, Bhavani S, Satheesh Kumar KS, Sathyanarayana SS,

Gurucharan N. 2013. An in-vivo comparative evaluation of two herbal extracts Emblica officinalis and Terminalia Chebula with chlorhexidine as an anticaries agent: A preliminary study. J Conserv Dent, 16: 546-549.

Wan AK, Seow WK, Walsh LJ, Bird PS. 2002. Comparison of five selective media for the growth and enumeration of Streptococcus mutans. Aust Dent J, 47: 21-26.

Yadav M, Kaushik M, Roshni R, Reddy P, Mehra N, Jain V, Rana R. 2017. Effect of green coffee bean extract on streptococcus mutans count: A randomised control trial. J Clin Diagn Res, 11: Zc68-Zc71.