

## Original Research Article

# Virtual screening of natural products as potential inhibitors of SARS-CoV-2 main protease, RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) and Spike Protein: Database design, molecular docking and molecular dynamic study

Motahareh Boozari<sup>1</sup>, Zeinab Amiri Tehranizadeh<sup>2</sup>, Hossein Hosseinzadeh<sup>3, 4,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmacognosy, School of Pharmacy, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran <sup>2</sup>Department of Medicinal Chemistry, School of Pharmacy, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Department of Pharmacodynamics and Toxicology, School of Pharmacy, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

<sup>4</sup>Pharmaceutical Research Center, Pharmaceutical Technology Institute, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

#### Article history:

Received: Mar 09, 2023 Received in revised form: Aug 23, 2023 Accepted: Jan 13, 2024 Epub ahead of print

#### \* Corresponding Author:

*Tel:* +98-5131801193 *Fax:* +98-5138823251 *hosseinzadehh@mums.ac.ir* 

#### Keywords:

COVID-19 Chebulagic acid Fangchinoline Suramin Spike protein RdRp

#### Abstract

**Objective:** COVID-19 is caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus. In this study, around 300 herbal compounds were screened virtually to find the best anti-COVID-19 structures.

**Materials and Methods:** An extensive search in electronic databases was done. Around 300 herbal compounds, which were previously proven to be antiviral structures, were extracted from articles and considered our primary database. Then, molecular docking studies were performed to find the best inhibitors of the main SARS-COV-2 proteins, including spike protein (PDB 7BWJ), RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (PDB 6M71) and main protease (PDB 5R7Z).

**Results:** The molecular docking and dynamics studies revealed that fangchinoline as an alkaloid could bind to the main protease of the virus more potent than lopinavir (-42.26 vs. -30.9 kJ/mol). Fangchinoline can be orally active based on drug-like properties. According to the molecular dynamic study, the complex between the fangchinoline and SARS-CoV-2 main protease is stable. chebulagic acid is a benzopyrene tannin that could inhibit RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) better than remdesivir (-43.9 vs. -28.8 kJ/mol). The molecular dynamic study showed that chebulagic acid-RdRp interaction is stable and strong. Furthermore, suramin could neutralize different variants of COVID-19 spike proteins (wild type, and alpha and beta variants). However, suramin is not orally active but it is a potential inhibitor for different coronavirus spike proteins.

**Conclusion:** According to the promising *in silico* results of this study, fangchinoline, chebulagic acid and suramin could be introduced as potential lead compounds for COVID-19 treatment. We are hopeful to find a reliable remedy shortly through natural compounds.

Please cite this paper as:

Boozari M, Amiri Tehranizadeh Z, Hosseinzadeh H. Virtual screening of natural products as potential inhibitors of SARS-CoV-2 main protease, RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) and Spike Protein: Database design, molecular docking and molecular dynamic study. Avicenna J Phytomed, 2024. Epub ahead of print.

# Introduction

COVID-19 is a life-threatening disease caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus. This virus was initially known as the 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) and the disease caused by it as the 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID-19) by the World Health Organization. Until January 2023, 6,831,146 deaths occurred due to COVID-19 infection. Identifying and developing a reliable treatment modality for patient care will be imperative in the near future. Herbal medicines have always been the center of attention for controlling respiratory diseases. Active constituents of herbal plants can interact efficiently with the proteins of the virus and neutralize the virus virulence. In this study, around 300 herbal compounds were screened virtually to find the best anti-COVID-19 structures. The previous coronavirus infection Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) occurred in 2003 in China and Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS) in 2012 in Saudi Arabia (Drosten et al., 2003). During the first days of the coronavirus pandemic, many in silico screening studies on different SARS-CoV-2 targets based on the known databases were conducted, such as the ZINC database or other commercial databases (Singh and Florez, 2020; Yu et al., 2020; Ruan et al., 2020; Noureddine et 2021). Different studies al.. have investigated the potential natural products for COVID-19 treatment (Boozari and Hosseinzadeh. 2021: Haidere et al., 2021: Brendler et al., 2021). Three important therapeutic strategies against COVID-19 are antiviral activity, anti-inflammatory activity, and immunomodulatory effects. Based on clinical trials, the most important mechanisms of natural products are modulatory effects on the immune system, anti-inflammatory effects and antiviral

activity (Babaei et al., 2021; Rameshrad et al., 2020).

In this study, we designed a small-scale database of effective anti-viral natural compounds and screened this database for the best compound against SARS-CoV-2 targets. Finally, molecular dynamic simulation was done to confirm the docking results.

# **Materials and Methods**

## Preparation of a small-scale database (based on effective anti-viral natural products)

To find potential compounds effective in the treatment of coronavirus, an extensive search for articles published between 1990 and 2022 in electronic databases (including Google Scholar, Science Direct, PubMed and Scopus) based on the keywords coronavirus, SARS, COVID-19, MERS. Natural product, herb, plant and extract was done. Finally, effective natural compounds were selected and classified based on chemical structure. The desired compounds were drawn in SDF format and entered into the database. The molecular structure of each active compound was confirmed by literature mining and PubChem (https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). The selected natural compounds with anti-viral effects are listed in Table 1.

# Protein preparation (selection effective SARS-CoV-2 targets)

For molecular docking study, the effective protein targets in SARS-CoV-2 should be identified first. In Table 2, the effective proteins are summarized along with the most effective combination and its identification code in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) (https://www.rcsb.org).

## Natural products screening against COVID-19

| Туре                   | Compound name  | Plant                         | Inhibitory activity   | Ref.                  |
|------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
|                        | Rosmariquinone   | Salvia miltiorrhiza           | 3CL <sup>pro</sup> inhibitory activity<br>IC <sub>50</sub> : 21.1 μM  | (Park et al., 2012b)  |
|                        | Methyl tanshinonate  | Salvia miltiorrhiza           | $3CL^{\text{pro}}$ inhibitory activity<br>IC <sub>50</sub> : 21.1 $\mu$ M   | (Park et al., 2012b)  |
| Abietane<br>terpenoids | Dihydrotanshinone I  | Salvia miltiorrhiza           | IC <sub>50</sub> : 14.4 $\mu$ M<br>PL <sup>pro</sup> inhibitory activity<br>IC <sub>50</sub> : 4.9 $\mu$ M        | (Park et al., 2012b)  |
| -                      | Tanshinone I   | Salvia miltiorrhiza           | $PL_{50}^{pro}$ inhibitory activity IC <sub>50</sub> : 8.8 $\mu M$  | (Park et al., 2012b)  |
|                        | Tanshinone IIA   | Salvia miltiorrhiza           | PL <sup>pro</sup> inhibitory activity<br>IC <sub>50</sub> : $1.6 \mu M$   | (Park et al., 2012b)  |
|                        | Cryptotanshinone   | Salvia miltiorrhiza           | PL <sup>PC</sup> inhibitory activity<br>IC <sub>50</sub> : $0.8 \mu M$  | (Park et al., 2012b)  |
|                        | Celastrol  | Triterygium regelii           | SCL <sup>2</sup> infibitory activity<br>IC <sub>50</sub> : 10.3 $\mu$ M<br>3CL <sup>pro</sup> inhibitory activity | (Ryu et al., 2010b)   |
| Quinone-methide        | Pristimerin  | Triterygium regelii           | $IC_{50}$ : 5.5 $\mu$ M   | (Ryu et al., 2010b)   |
| triterpenes            | Tingenone  | Triterygium regelii           | 3CL <sup>pro</sup> inhibitory activity<br>IC <sub>50</sub> : 9.9 μM   | (Ryu et al., 2010b)   |
|                        | Iguesterin   | Triterygium regelii           | $IC_{50}$ : 2.6 $\mu M$   | (Ryu et al., 2010b)   |
| Triterpenoids          | Betulinic acid   | Juniperus<br>formosana        | 3CL <sup>pro</sup> inhibitory activity<br>IC <sub>50</sub> : 10 µM  | (Wen et al., 2007)    |
| Saponin                | Glycyrrhizin   | Glycyrrhiza glabra            | SARS-associated virus replication inhibition  | (Cinatl et al., 2003) |
| Stilbenoid             | Resveratrol  | Vitis vinifera                | inhibited MERS-cov<br>infection and decreased<br>MERS-cov replication<br>in vitro                                 | (Lin et al., 2017)    |
|                        | Luteolin   | Vegetable                     | Binds to the surface<br>spike protein of SARS-<br>cov ( $EC_{50}$ 10.6 µm)<br>$3CL^{pro}$ inhibitory activity     | (Yi et al., 2004)     |
|                        | Hasperatin   | Inatia indiantian             | $IC_{50}$ : 20.2 $\mu$ M $3CL^{pro}$ inhibitory activity  | (Ryu et al., 2010a)   |
|                        | пеspereun  | isans inaigotica              | IC <sub>50</sub> : 8.3 μM<br>3CL <sup>pro</sup> inhibitory activity   | (Lin et al., 2005)    |
| -                      | Quercetin  | Vegetable                     | $IC_{50}$ : 23.8 $\mu$ M  | (Ryu et al., 2010a)   |
| Flavonoids             | Amentoflavone  | Torreya nucifera<br>Paulovria | $3CL^{pro}$ inhibitory activity<br>IC <sub>50</sub> : 8.3 $\mu$ M   | (Ryu et al., 2010a)   |
|                        | Tomentin A   | rauownia<br>tomentosa         | IC <sub>50</sub> : 6.2 $\mu$ M  | (Cho et al., 2013)    |
|                        | Tomentin B   | Paulownia<br>tomentosa        | $PL^{pro}$ inhibitory activity $IC_{50}$ : 6.1 µM   | Cho et al., 2013)     |
|                        | Tomentin C   | Paulownia                     | PL <sup>pro</sup> inhibitory activity   | Cho et al., 2013)     |
|                        |  | tomentosa<br>Paulownia        | IC <sub>50</sub> : 11.6 μM<br>PL <sup>pro</sup> inhibitorv activitv   |                       |
|                        | Tomentin D   | tomentosa                     | IC <sub>50</sub> : 12.5 μM  | Cho et al., 2013)     |
|                        | Tomentin E   | Paulownia<br>tomentosa        | PL <sup>pro</sup> inhibitory activity IC <sub>50</sub> : 5.0 $\mu$ M  | Cho et al., 2013)     |
|                        | 3'-O-methyldiplacol  | Paulownia<br>tomentosa        | PL <sup>pro</sup> inhibitory activity IC <sub>50</sub> : 9.5 $\mu$ M  | Cho et al., 2013)     |
|                        | 4'-O-methyldiplacol  | Paulownia<br>tomentosa        | PL <sup>pro</sup> inhibitory activity<br>IC <sub>50</sub> : 9.2 uM  | Cho et al., 2013)     |
|                        | 3'-O-  | Paulownia                     | PL <sup>pro</sup> inhibitory activity   | Cho et al. $2013$     |
|                        | methyldiplacone  | tomentosa<br>Paulaunia        | IC <sub>50</sub> : 13.2 μM  | Cito et al., 2013)    |
|                        | 4 -O-<br>methyldiplacone                                   | raulownia<br>tomentosa        | IC <sub>50</sub> : 12.7 $\mu$ M   | Cho et al., 2013)     |
|                        | Mimulone   | Paulownia<br>tomentosc        | $PL^{pro}$ inhibitory activity  | Cho et al., 2013)     |
|                        | Diplacone  | Paulownia<br>tomentosa        | PL <sup>pro</sup> inhibitory activity<br>IC <sub>50</sub> : 10.4 $\mu$ M  | Cho et al., 2013)     |
|                        | 6-   |                               |   |                       |
|                        | geranyl-4',5,7-<br>trihydroxy-3',5'-<br>dimethoxyflavanone | Paulownia<br>tomentosa        | $PL^{pro}$ inhibitory activity IC <sub>50</sub> : 13.7 $\mu M$  | Cho et al., 2013)     |

| Table 1. Natural products | with inhibitory activity | against SARS-CoV2 targets |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1                         | 5 5 5                    | 0                         |

#### Table 1. Continue

-

|                  | Bavachinin                      | Psoralea corylifolia        | PL <sup>pro</sup> inhibitory activity<br>IC <sub>50</sub> : 38.4 μM   | (Kim et al., 2014)                            |
|------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|
|                  | Corylifol A                     | Psoralea corylifolia        | PL <sup>pro</sup> inhibitory activity<br>IC <sub>50</sub> : 32.3 μM   | (Kim et al., 2014)                            |
|                  | Isobavachalcone                 | Psoralea corylifolia        | PL <sup>pro</sup> inhibitory activity<br>IC <sub>50</sub> : 18.3 μM   | (Kim et al., 2014)                            |
|                  | 4'-O-<br>methylbavachalcone     | Psoralea corylifolia        | $PL^{\text{pro}}$ inhibitory activity $IC_{50}$ : 10.1 $\mu M$  | (Kim et al., 2014)                            |
|                  | Neobavaisoflavone               | Psoralea corylifolia        | $PL^{pro}$ inhibitory activity $IC_{50}$ : 18.3 $\mu M$   | (Kim et al., 2014)                            |
|                  | Psoralidin                      | Psoralea corylifolia        | $PL^{pro}$ inhibitory activity $IC_{50}$ : 4.2 $\mu M$  | (Kim et al., 2014)                            |
|                  | Baicalin                        | Scutellaria<br>baicalensis  | Antiviral activity against SARS<br>(EC <sub>50</sub> 12.5 μg/ml)<br>3CL <sup>pro</sup> inhibitory activity                                  | (Chen et al., 2004)                           |
|                  | Xanthoangelol E                 | Angelica keiskei            | IC <sub>50</sub> : 11.4µM<br>PL <sup>pro</sup> inhibitory activity  | (Park et al., 2016)                           |
|                  | Xanthoangelol B                 | Angelica keiskei            | SCL <sup>pro</sup> inhibitory activity<br>IC <sub>50</sub> : 22.2 μM<br>PL <sup>pro</sup> inhibitory activity<br>IC <sub>50</sub> : 11.7 μM | (Park et al., 2016)                           |
|                  | xanthoangelol F                 | Angelica keiskei            | PL <sup>pro</sup> inhibitory activity<br>IC <sub>50</sub> : 5.6 µM  | (Park et al., 2016)                           |
|                  | xanthoangelol                   | Angelica keiskei            | $PL^{pro}$ inhibitory activity $IC_{50}$ : 11.7 $\mu M$   | Park et al., 2016)                            |
|                  | Isobavachalcone                 | Angelica keiskei            | PL <sup>pro</sup> inhibitory activity<br>IC <sub>50</sub> : 13.0 μM   | Park et al., 2016)                            |
| Tannins          | Theaflavin-<br>3,3'digallate    | Camellia sinensis           | $3CL^{pro}$ inhibitory activity $IC_{50}$ : 9.5 $\mu M$   | (Lin et al., 2005)                            |
|                  | 3-Isotheaflavin-3<br>gallate    | Camellia sinensis           | 3CL <sup>pro</sup> inhibitory activity<br>IC <sub>50</sub> : 7 μM   | (Lin et al., 2005)                            |
|                  | Tannic acid                     | Camellia sinensis           | $3CL^{pro}$ inhibitory activity<br>IC <sub>50</sub> : 3 $\mu$ M   | (Lin et al., 2005)                            |
| Diarylheptanoids | Curcumin                        | Curcuma longa               | $IC_{50} = 0 \ \mu M$<br>$PL^{\text{pro}}$ inhibitory activity<br>$IC_{50} = 5.7 \ \mu M$   | (Wen et al., 2007)<br>(Park et al.,<br>2012a) |
|                  | Hirsutenone                     | Alnus japonica              | PL <sup>pro</sup> inhibitory activity<br>IC <sub>50</sub> : 4.1 $\mu$ M   | (Park et al.,<br>2012a)                       |
|                  | lycorine                        | Lycoris radiata             | MERS-CoV (EC50: 1.63 µM), and HCoV-NL63 (EC50: 0.47µM).   | (Shen et al., 2019)                           |
|                  | Emetine                         | Carapichea<br>ipecacuanha   | HCoV-OC43 (EC <sub>50</sub> : 0.30 μM),<br>MERS-CoV (EC50: 0.34μM) and<br>HCoV-NL63 (EC50: 1.43μM).   | (Shen et al., 2019)                           |
|                  | Tylophorine                     | Tylophora indica            | potent coronavirus replication<br>inhibitory effects (IC <sub>50</sub> : 58 nM)   | (Yang et al., 2010)                           |
| Alkaloids        | 7-<br>methoxycryptopleur<br>ine | Tylophora indica            | potent coronavirus replication<br>inhibitory effects<br>(IC <sub>50</sub> : 20 nM)  | (Yang et al.,<br>2010)                        |
|                  | tetrandrine                     | Stephania tetrandra         | potential antiviral activity against<br>HCoV-OC43 infection<br>(IC <sub>50</sub> : 14.51μM)   | (Kim et al., 2019)                            |
|                  | fangchinoline                   | Stephania tetrandra         | potential antiviral activity against<br>HCoV-OC43 infection<br>(IC <sub>50</sub> : 12.40μM)   | (Kim et al., 2019)                            |
|                  | cepharanthine                   | Stephania tetrandra         | potential antiviral activity against<br>HCoV-OC43 infection<br>$IC_{50}$ : 10.54 $\mu$ M)   | (Kim et al., 2019)                            |
|                  | Homoharringtonine               | cephalotaxus<br>hainanensis | antiviral activity against diverse<br>species of human and animal<br>coronaviruses<br>IC <sub>50</sub> (12nM)                               | (Cao et al., 2015)                            |

| Natural prod | ucts screening | against | COVID-19 |
|--------------|----------------|---------|----------|
|--------------|----------------|---------|----------|

| Targets                          | Name   | PDB code  | Comparison with   | The most potent<br>inhibitors  | Ref.                              |
|----------------------------------|--|---|---|--|-----------------------------------|
|                                  | Spike (S)<br>protein to ACE2<br>receptor     | 2AJF:B  | With other coronavirus species  | -  | (Ralph et al., 2020)              |
| attachment<br>targets            | S-ACE2                                       |   | -   | reduction of blood<br>cholesterol levels leads to<br>inhibition of the<br>attachment of<br>coronaviruses to host cells | (Baglivo et al., 2020)            |
|                                  | Mpro (protease)                              | 6lu7  | Curcumin  | Ribavirin  | (Kandeel and Al-<br>Nazawi, 2020) |
| Genome<br>replication<br>targets | RNA-dependent<br>RNA<br>polymerase<br>(RdRp) | 6NUR  | Positive control<br>(GTP, UTP)-<br>negative control<br>(Cinnamaldehyde,<br>Thymoquinone)  | Sofosbuvir, Ribavirin, and<br>Remdisivir   |                                   |
|                                  | Main protease                                | peptide-<br>like: 6Y2F<br>small<br>molecules:<br>6W63 | Crystal structures  | Cobicistat, ritonavir,<br>lopinavir, and darunavir   | (Pant et al., 2020)               |
|                                  | RdRp;<br>modeling                            | 6NUR  | Positive control<br>(GTP, UTP)-<br>negative control<br>(Cinnamaldehyde,<br>Thymoquinone)<br>SARS-CoV-2 RdRp<br>model and SARS<br>HCoV RdRp (PDB<br>ID: 6NUR) and<br>hepatitis C virus<br>(HCV) non-<br>structural protein 5B<br>(NS5B) RdRp (PDB<br>ID: 2XI3) | Ribavirin, Remdesivir,<br>Sofosbuvir, Galidesivir,<br>and Tenofovir  | (Elfiky, 2020)                    |
|                                  | Main protease                                | 5R7Y,<br>5R7Z,<br>5R80,<br>5R81, 5R82                 | -   | A dock score of -6.5 or less is considered better  | (Shah et al., 2020)               |
|                                  | Main protease-<br>3CL <sup>pro</sup>         | 6LU7  | SARS-C0V main protease (1UK4)   | -  | (Macchiagodena et al., 2020)      |
|                                  | SARS-CoV2 E<br>(homolog to<br>SARS-CoV E)    | 5X29  | -   | Belachinal, Macaflavanone<br>E, and Vibsanol   | (Gupta et al., 2020)              |

#### Table 2. Effective SARS-CoV-2 targets

#### **Molecular docking process**

Molecular docking is a computational method that aims to predict the molecular connection between small molecules (ligands) and macromolecular targets (desired receptors). The ability of the ligands for receptor inhibition is examined based on binding energy. The lower amount of ligand-receptor binding energy demonstrates the ability of the ligand for receptor inhibition. The AutoDock Vina software was utilized to conduct molecular docking studies on a designed small-scale database (Huey et al., 2012). The amount of binding energy is obtained based on kcal/mol.

#### Molecular dynamics study

Molecular dynamic simulation is an acceptable computer simulation method for simulating complex multi-particle systems by solving Hamilton's equations. In the molecular dynamic study, the dynamic behavior of proteins in the predicted by solvent is statistical mechanics (Hospital et al., 2015). In this study, the best-docked structures were subjected to molecular dynamics simulations. Molecular dynamics was calculated with GROMACS software.

## Prediction of drug-likeness properties

Drug-likeness determines qualitatively the chance of a small molecule to become an oral drug concerning bioavailability based on Lipinski's rule of five. In the drug-likeness study, the pharmacokinetic properties of a small molecule such as absorption, distribution, metabolism, and elimination were investigated (Di et al., 2009).

# Results

# Small-scale anti-viral natural products database

Some previous in vitro studies introduced effective natural compounds types of coronavirus against other infection. According to the literature, the effective natural compounds in previous studies were collected and classified in this study based on chemical structures. Different structures were classified as terpenoids, polyphenols, especially flavonoids and alkaloids. Table 1 summarizes effective the natural compounds for SARS-CoV-2 treatment.

Terpenoids are an important class of naturally occurring organic chemicals with promising therapeutic effects against coronaviruses. In different studies, various types of terpenoids, such as saponin terpenoids (such as glycyrrhizin) (Cinatl et al., 2003), abietane terpenoids (Park et al., 2012b) and quinone methide terpenoids (Ryu et al., 2010b) have been effective against different types of coronaviruses.

Polyphenols are a class of secondary metabolites that are found in many plants which include lignans, phenolic acids, flavonoids and stilbenes. Polyphenols and especially flavonoids, present potential anti-viral activity with safe administration and low toxicity (Kaul et al., 2021). Different types of polyphenols such as diarylheptanoids (such as curcumin) (Park et al., 2012a), flavonoids (such as lutein) (Yi et al., 2004) and chalcones (Park et al., 2016) were effective previous in coronavirus infections.

Alkaloids are a large class of secondary various therapeutic metabolites with effects. Alkaloids have been considered potential anti-viral compounds against SARS-CoV-2. So far, various alkaloids have been investigated against coronaviruses and they have shown acceptable therapeutic effects (Majnooni et al., 2021).

### Selection effective SARS-CoV-2 targets

The effective protein targets in SARS-CoV-2 should be identified and classified. proteins The effective and their identification code in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) (https://www.rcsb.org) are summarized in Table 2. Two major types of SARS-CoV-2 targets are (1) Proteins involved in SARS-CoV-2 attachment to host cells and (2) proteins involved in genome replication. (1) The first step in viral pathogenesis is the virus's attachment to host cells. The coronavirus glycoprotein is responsible for viral attachment. Spike (S) glycoprotein recognizes host cells and fuses with them. In  $\beta$ -coronaviruses, S glycoprotein binds angiotensinto converting enzyme 2 (ACE2). Therefore, ACE2 is a potent receptor for the attachment of coronavirus (Li et al., 2003, Hoffmann et al., 2020). On the other hand, ACE2 expression increased during SARS-CoV-2 infection. Therefore, ACE2 inhibitors could be effective compounds in the treatment of COVID-19 (2). The most important enzymes involved in coronavirus genome replication are 3Clike protease (3CLpro) and papain-like protease (PLpro). Also, helicase and RNAdependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) enzymes are essential for coronavirus multiplication. Inhibitors of these enzymes can play an effective role in treating COVID-19.

## Molecular docking

Docking of the small-scale designed database on the main protease of coronavirus The crystal structure of the selected main protease of coronavirus (5R7Z) was downloaded from the PDB website. The final docking results on all the selected natural compounds are reported in supplementary data. In Table 3, the best Binding Energy results ( $X \le -9$  kcal/mol) for the main protease are summarized.

#### Docking of the small-scale designed database on the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) of coronavirus

The three-dimensional crystal structure selected RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (6M71) was downloaded from the PDB site. The final docking results on all the selected natural compounds are reported in supplementary data. In Table 4, the best Binding Energy results ( $X \le -9$  kcal/mol) for the main polymerase are summarized.

| Compounds                    | Binding Energy<br>(kcal/mol) | MW      | Donor<br>HB | Accept<br>HB | QPlogPo/w | PSA     | Type of<br>compound         |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------|-------------|--------------|-----------|---------|-----------------------------|
| Fangchinoline                | -10.1                        | 608.733 | 1           | 8            | 5.585     | 64.094  | Alkaloid                    |
| Hypericin                    | -9.7                         | 504.452 | 4           | 6.5          | 2.132     | 156.274 | Anthraquinone               |
| Rutin                        | -9.6                         | 610.524 | 9           | 20.55        | -2.637    | 270.703 | Glycosylated<br>flavonoids  |
| Swertifrancheside            | -9.4                         | 720.596 | 6.5         | 16.5         | -1.597    | 134.231 | Flavone-xanthone glucoside  |
| Tingenone                    | -9.4                         | 420.591 | 1           | 4.75         | 4.516     | 74.771  | Pentacyclic<br>triterpene   |
| Amentoflavone                | -9.3                         | 538.466 | 4           | 7.5          | 2.81      | 194.19  | Glycosylated<br>flavonoids  |
| Robustaflavone               | -9.3                         | 538.466 | 4           | 7.5          | 2.957     | 193.616 | Glycosylated<br>flavonoids  |
| 3-Isotheaflavin-3<br>gallate | -9.2                         | 716.608 | 10          | 13.7         | -0.157    | 263.627 | Tannin                      |
| Hesperidin                   | -9.2                         | 610.568 | 7           | 20.05        | -1.324    | 239.922 | Glycosylated<br>flavonoids  |
| Polyphyllin_I                | -9.2                         | 855.027 | 8           | 25.3         | 1.026     | 219.105 | Triterpenoids               |
| Sceptrin                     | -9.2                         | 620.305 | 10          | 9            | 1.764     | 205.795 | Marine metabolites          |
| Soulattrolide                | -9.2                         | 404.462 | 1           | 5.7          | 4.221     | 68.472  | Coumarin                    |
| Chebulagic acid              | -9                           | 954.672 | 13          | 26.15        | -3.9      | 470.728 | Benzopyran tannin           |
| Savinin                      | -9                           | 352.343 | 0           | 6            | 2.396     | 80.993  | Lignan                      |
| Theaflavin-3,<br>3'digallate | -9                           | 868.714 | 12          | 16.25        | 0.311     | 341.852 | Tannin                      |
| Ursonic_acid                 | -9                           | 454.692 | 1           | 4            | 6.176     | 62.974  | Pentacyclic<br>triterpenoid |

Table 3. The best docking results for the coronavirus main protease with drug-likeness properties

Table 4. The best docking results for RNA-dependent RNA polymerase with drug-like properties

| Compounds         | Binding Energy<br>(kcal/mol) | MW       | Donor<br>HB | Accpt<br>HB | QPlog<br>Po/w | PSA     | Type of compound              |
|-------------------|------------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|---------------|---------|-------------------------------|
| Chebulagic acid   | -10.5                        | 954.672  | 13          | 26.15       | -3.9          | 470.728 | Benzopyran tannin             |
| Hesperidin        | -10.3                        | 610.568  | 7           | 20.05       | -1.324        | 239.922 | Flavanone glycoside           |
| Suramin           | -10.1                        | 1297.263 | 12          | 36          | -0.965        | 508.544 | Polysulphonated naphthylurea  |
| Eugeniin          | -10                          | 938.672  | 15          | 22.95       | -3.391        | 474.199 | Ellagitannin                  |
| Dipsacoside_B     | -9.5                         | 1075.249 | 13          | 36          | -3.04         | 331.507 | Triterpenoids                 |
| Amentoflavone     | -9.3                         | 538.466  | 4           | 7.5         | 2.81          | 194.19  | Glycosylated flavonoids       |
| Robustaflavone    | -9.2                         | 538.466  | 4           | 7.5         | 2.957         | 193.616 | Glycosylated flavonoids       |
| Sotetsuflavone    | -9.1                         | 552.493  | 3           | 7.5         | 3.524         | 180.755 | Biflavonoid                   |
| Swertifrancheside | -9.1                         | 720.596  | 8           | 16.5        | -0.182        | 295.835 | Flavone-xanthone<br>glucoside |

#### Docking of the small-scale designed database on the spike protein of coronavirus

The 3-D crystal structure of the coronavirus surface receptor (7BWJ) was downloaded from the PDB site. The final results of docking on all selected natural compounds are presented in supplementary data. The best results of Binding Energy ( $X \le -7.5$  kcal/mol) for the Spike protein are summarized in Table 5.

#### Molecular dynamics simulation

The molecular dynamic simulation was run with GROMACS package Version 2020.1, a high-performance Linux cluster (Abraham et al., 2015) to determine the behavior of selected best ligands in complex with main protease, RNAdependent RNA polymerase and spike protein of SARS-CoV-2.

# Molecular dynamics simulation of fangchinoline with the main protease

After the virtual screening, the best result was entered into the environment of water and ions for more detailed investigations. The stability of the fangchinoline (alkaloid structure) with the main protease complex was investigated with molecular dynamics stimulation (Figure 1).

Due to the presence of polar amino acids such as threonine, arginine, serine and glutamic acid in the active site of this enzyme, fangchinoline could bind strongly with about 3 to 4 hydrogen bonds at a distance of 0.35 nm from the active site. The drug-protein complex was stable and the deviation from the standard structure was about 0.3 nm. The Leonard Jones interactions (nonbinding interaction due to the presence of polar and charged groups) between fangchinoline and the virus main protease were about -180.65 kJ/mol. Molecular dynamics simulation showed that fangchinoline with its flexible structure could be able to create a ring in the active site of the protein, which leads stronger inhibitory to a effect in comparison to lopinavir (inhibitor of main protease).

The calculated Gibbs free energy for the binding of fangchinoline with the main protease is about -42.26 kJ/mol, showing a strong inhibitory effect in the main protease in comparison to lopinavir (-30.9 kJ/mol) (Figure 2).

| Compounds       | Binding Energy<br>(kcal/mol) | MW       | Donor<br>HB | Accep<br>t<br>HB | QPlog<br>Po/w | PSA     | Type of<br>compound          |
|-----------------|------------------------------|----------|-------------|------------------|---------------|---------|------------------------------|
| Suramin         | -9.7                         | 1297.263 | 12          | 36               | -0.965        | 508.544 | Polysulphonated naphthylurea |
| Amentoflavone   | -9.1                         | 538.466  | 4           | 7.5              | 2.81          | 194.19  | Glycosylated<br>flavonoids   |
| Sotetsuflavone  | -8.5                         | 552.493  | 3           | 7.5              | 3.524         | 180.755 | Biflavonoid                  |
| Chebulagic_acid | -8.4                         | 954.672  | 13          | 26.15            | -3.9          | 470.728 | Benzopyran tannin            |
| Robustaflavone  | -8.4                         | 538.466  | 4           | 7.5              | 2.957         | 193.616 | Glycosylated flavonoids      |
| Iguesterin      | -8.1                         | 404.591  | 1           | 2.75             | 5.518         | 49.966  | quinonoid<br>triterpene      |
| Eugeniin        | -7.9                         | 938.672  | 15          | 22.95            | -3.391        | 474.199 | Ellagitannin                 |
| Polyphyllin_I   | -7.8                         | 855.027  | 8           | 25.3             | 1.026         | 219.105 | Triterpenoids                |
| Hypericin       | -7.7                         | 504.452  | 4           | 6.5              | 2.132         | 156.274 | Anthraquinone<br>caffeoyl    |
| Verbascoside    | -7.7                         | 624.594  | 9           | 20.3             | -1.537        | 252.529 | phenylethanoid<br>glycoside  |
| 3B-Friedelanol  | -7.6                         | 428.740  | 1           | 1.7              | 7.124         | 17.623  | Triterpenoids                |
| Astragaloside_A | -7.6                         | 784.980  | 9           | 21.9             | 0.484         | 207.345 | Saponin                      |
| Topsentin       | -7.6                         | 342.356  | 3           | 3.250            | 3.285         | 99.250  | Alkaloids                    |

Table 5. The best docking results for the spike protein with drug-like characteristics



Figure 1. Interaction of fangchinoline with the main protease. A) The active site of the main protease and the position of fangchinoline are shown. The protein is shown as surface and ligand with spacer model in green color. B) The active site of the protein and the secondary structures of the protein around the ligand are shown (Ball-and-stick model). C) The two-dimensional model of the ligand position in the active site and the amino acids involved in creating an effective interaction are shown. D) Stability of the ligand-protein complex during 100 ns of simulation. E) The number of hydrogen bonds formed in the ligand-protein interaction during 100 ns of simulation.



Figure 2. The two structures of lopinavir (brown) and fangsinoline (green) are shown in the active site of the main protease. The active site of the protein is shown based on the nature of the amino acids that are polar (red) or non-polar (orange).

#### Molecular dynamics simulation of chebulagic acid with RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp)

Based on virtual screening results, chebulagic acid with benzopyran tannin structure showed the best inhibitory effect on RdRp in the molecular docking study. Figure 3 shows the interaction between chebulagic acid and virus RdRp. Molecular docking study and molecular dynamics simulation presented that the best antagonist of RdRp is remdesivir even in comparison to sofosbuvir and ribavirin. Virtual screening and molecular dynamic simulation showed that chebolagic acid binds to RdRp with a Gibbs free energy of -43.9 kJ/mol which is more potent than remdesivir with a Gibbs free energy of -28.8 kJ/mol.



Figure 3. Chebulagic acid and RdRp interaction. A) The active site of the RdRp and the position chebulagic acid are shown. The protein is shown as surface and ligand with spacer model in green color. B) The active site of the protein and the secondary structures of the protein around the ligand are shown (Ball-and-stick model). C) The two-dimensional model of the ligand position in the active site and the amino acids involved in creating an effective interaction are shown. D) Stability of the ligand-protein complex during 100 ns of simulation. E) The number of hydrogen bonds formed in the ligand-protein interaction during 100 ns of simulation.

In the investigation of the active site of this enzyme, it was observed that the location of remdesivir is slightly different from chebulagic acid. However, in the investigation of the ligand-protein interaction, it was observed that chebulagic acid is fitter in the active site. A powerful interaction between the phosphate and magnesium groups in the active site of the enzyme and ligands was observed (Figure 4).

# Molecular dynamics simulation of suramin with spike protein

Virtual screening on a small designed database revealed that suramin could strongly inhibit the spike protein. Figure 5 shows the interaction of suramin and spike protein of coronavirus.



Figure 4. Structures of remdesivir (brown) and chebulagic acid (green) are shown in the active site of RdRp. The active site of the protein is shown based on the nature of the amino acids that are polar (red) or non-polar (orange).



Figure 5. Interaction of suramin with Spike protein. A) The active site of spike protein and the suramin position are shown. The protein is shown as surface and ligand with spacer model in green color. B) The active site of the protein and the secondary structures of the protein around the ligand are shown (Ball-and-stick model). C) The two-dimensional model of the ligand position in the active site and the amino acids involved in creating an effective interaction are shown. D) Stability of the ligand-protein complex during 100 ns of simulation. E) The number of hydrogen bonds formed in the ligand-protein interaction during 100 ns of simulation

Spike protein inhibitors are compounds that can interact strongly with the protein binding region (RBD) of this receptor and can compete with the receptors in the human body. Most of the compounds selected in this study had a high binding ability with this protein and were able to inhibit the binding level of this protein completely.

Studies have shown that polar amino acids play a role in establishing strong interactions with inhibitors through hydrogen bond formation. In spike protein, E484, N501, Q498, E406, D405, Q493, S494, R403, K417 and Y505 played the main role. The alpha-type ( $\alpha$  variant) of spike protein has more transmission power

than the original virus type. As shown in Figure 6-A, in this variant, asparagine at position 501 has been changed to tyrosine. which has reduced the binding power of suramin to spike protein. Of course, 13 other mutations have occurred in the  $\alpha$ variant, but none of them are in the ACE2 binding site. In April 2021, another type of this virus was identified, which again had a key mutation in the active site of the spike protein. In the delta species, lysine at position 417 was changed to asparagine. Our studies showed that the selected compounds effective on the wild-type species could also be effective on the delta species (Figure 6B).



Figure 6. The active site of various mutant spike protein species and their interaction with suramin ligand (Ball-and-stick model). A) The changes related to the alpha mutation in the active site are shown and the changes in suramin binding to the active site (N501Y). B) The changes related to the delta mutation in the active site are shown and the changes in suramin binding to the active site (K417N).

## Discussion

Among the natural compounds that were investigated in this study, three compounds fangchinoline, chebulagic acid and suramin were identified as the most effective in fighting SARS-CoV-2 infection. The structure of these compounds is shown in Figure 7. Fangchinoline is an alkaloid compound with a bis-benzylisoquinoline structure which is isolated from the plant *Stephania tetrandra* of the Menispermaceae family, which is considered one of the traditional Chinese medicine plants, and its anticancer effects have been reported in many studies (Kim et al., 2019, Yang et al., 2020, Mérarchi et al., 2018). The binding energy of this compound in inhibiting the main protease of the coronavirus was -10.1 kcal/mol, which has shown the strongest inhibitory effect among the investigated natural compounds. Also, the investigation of drug-likeness properties for fangchinoline showed that this compound follows Lipinski's rule of five and could be orally active. Also, according to its polar surface area (PSA) level (64.094), fangchinoline could pass through the cell wall and penetrate the cell. Further

molecular dynamics simulation demonstrated the high ability of fangchinoline to inhibit the main protease compared lopinavir. Finally, to fangchinoline placed powerfully in the active site of the main protease and inhibited this protein significantly lopinavir. Despite compared to the significant effects of fangchinoline in preclinical models, clinical and toxicological studies have not been conducted on this compound.



Figure 7. Chemical structure of fangchinoline, chebulagic acid and suramin

Chebulagic acid is a type of benzopyran tannin found in plants of the genus *Terminalia*, especially *Terminalia chebula*, with the Persian name "*Halileh Zard*" (Chen and Li, 2006). Chebulagic acid is a potent antioxidant and has been reported to have various effects such as immune system suppression (Hamada et al., 1997), and liver protection (Kinoshita et al., 2007). In novel studies, chebulagic acid has been introduced as a promising compound with antiviral effects against influenza (Kandeel and Al-Nazawi, 2020, Duncan et al., 2020). Furthermore, an *in vitro* study showed that chebulagic acid could effectively inhibit 3CLpro (Du et al., 2021). The docking study revealed that chebulagic acid inhibited RdRp with a binding energy of -10.5 kcal/mol. The molecular dynamics simulation also confirmed the strong inhibitory effect of chebulagic acid compared to remdesivir. Although the analysis of drug-like properties is not appropriate, according to the structure of this compound, it should be mentioned that this compound is a hydrolyzable tannin, and *in silico* studies confirmed the effectiveness of hydrolysis compounds obtained from chebulagic acid (Duncan et al., 2020). *In vivo* toxicological studies have shown that chebulagic acid has minimal toxicity (Huang et al., 2012).

Suramin is a synthetic compound with a polysulphonated naphthylurea structure, which is used in the pharmaceutical market to treat Trypanosomiasis and prostate cancer by injection. Also, small doses of suramin have been used for autism (Naviaux et al.. treatment 2017). Furthermore, an in vitro study confirmed the effectiveness of suramin in the prevention of coronavirus proliferation in human lung epithelial cells (Salgado-Benvindo et al., 2020). Suramin can inhibit spike protein in different mutations of coronavirus. Although suramin is not orally active, due to the clinical use of suramin by injection, it can be considered a valuable compound in the treatment of COVID-19. Suramin is а polypharmacological compound that targets multiple pathways. Despite its adverse effects, the diverse biological activities of suramin continue to be explored in both experimental and clinical studies (Wiedemar et al., 2020).

Finally, the reported compounds have shown good effectiveness in the *in silico* study and can be used for future investigation for COVID-19 treatment.

## **Conflicts of interest**

The authors have declared that there is no conflict of interest.

## References

Abraham MJ, Murtola T, Schulz R, Páll S, Smith JC, Hess B, Lindahl E. 2015. GROMACS: High performance molecular simulations through multi-level parallelism from laptops to supercomputers. SoftwareX, 1: 19-25.

- Babaei F, Mirzababaei M, Nassiri-Asl M, Hosseinzadeh H. 2021. Review of registered clinical trials for the treatment of COVID-19. Drug Dev Res, 82: 474-493.
- Baglivo M, Baronio M, Natalini G, Beccari T, Chiurazzi P, Fulcheri E, Petralia P, Michelini S, Fiorentini G, Miggiano GA, Morresi A, Tonini G, Bertelli M. 2020. Natural small molecules as inhibitors of coronavirus lipid-dependent attachment to host cells: A possible strategy for reducing SARS-COV-2 infectivity? Acta Biomed, 91: 161-164.
- Boozari M, Hosseinzadeh H. 2021. Natural products for COVID-19 prevention and treatment regarding to previous coronavirus infections and novel studies. Phytother Res, 35: 864-876.
- Brendler T, Al-Harrasi A, Bauer R, Gafner S, Hardy ML, Heinrich M, Hosseinzadeh H, Izzo AA, Michaelis M, Nassiri-Asl M. 2021. Botanical drugs and supplements affecting the immune response in the time of COVID-19: Implications for research and clinical practice. Phytother Res, 35: 3013-3031.
- Cao J, Forrest JC, Zhang X. 2015. A screen of the NIH Clinical Collection small molecule library identifies potential anticoronavirus drugs. Antiviral Res, 114: 1-10.
- Chen F, Chan K, Jiang Y, Kao R, Lu H, Fan K, Cheng V, Tsui W, Hung I, Lee T. 2004. In vitro susceptibility of 10 clinical isolates of SARS coronavirus to selected antiviral compounds. J Clin Virol, 31: 69-75.
- Chen PS, Li JH. 2006. Chemopreventive effect of punicalagin, a novel tannin component isolated from Terminalia catappa, on Hras-transformed NIH3T3 cells. Toxicol Lett, 163: 44-53.
- Cho JK, Curtis-Long MJ, Lee KH, Kim DW, Ryu HW, Yuk HJ, Park KH 2013. Geranylated flavonoids displaying SARS-CoV papain-like protease inhibition from the fruits of Paulownia tomentosa. Bioorg Med Chem, 21: 3051-3057.
- Cinatl J, Morgenstern B, Bauer G, Chandra P, Rabenau H, Doerr H. 2003. Glycyrrhizin, an active component of liquorice roots,

and replication of SARS-associated coronavirus. The Lancet, 361: 2045-2046.

- Di L, Kerns EH, Carter GT. 2009. Drug-like property concepts in pharmaceutical design. Curr Pharm Des, 15: 2184-2194.
- Drosten C, Günther S, Preiser W, Van Der Werf S, Brodt HR, Becker S, Rabenau H, Panning M, Kolesnikova L, Fouchier RA. 2003. Identification of a novel coronavirus in patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome. N Engl J Med, 348: 1967-1976.
- Du R, Cooper L, Chen Z, Lee H, Rong L, Cui, Q. 2021. Discovery of chebulagic acid and punicalagin as novel allosteric inhibitors of SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro. Antiviral Res, 190: 105075.
- Duncan MC, Onguéné PA, Kihara I, Nebangwa DN, Naidu ME, Williams DE, Balgi AD, Andrae-Marobela K, Roberge M, Andersen RJ. 2020. Virtual screening identifies chebulagic acid as an inhibitor of the M2 (S31N) viral ion channel and influenza a virus. Molecules, 25: 2903.
- Elfiky AA. 2020. Ribavirin, remdesivir, sofosbuvir, galidesivir, and tenofovir against SARS-COV-2 RNA dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp): a molecular docking study. Life Sci, 253: 117592.
- Gupta MK, Vemula S, Donde R, Gouda G, Behera L, Vadde, R. 2020. In-silico approaches to detect inhibitors of the human severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus envelope protein ion channel. J Biomol Struct Dyn, 39: 2617-2627.
- Haidere MF, Ratan ZA, Nowroz S, Zaman SB, Jung YJ, Hosseinzadeh H, Cho JY. 2021. COVID-19 vaccine: critical questions with complicated answers. Biomol Ther, 29: 1-10.
- Hamada SI, Kataoka T, Woo JT, Yamada A, Yoshida T, Nishimura T, Otake N, Nagai K. 1997. Immunosuppressive effects of gallic acid and chebulagic acid on CTLmediated cytotoxicity. Biol Pharm Bull, 20: 1017-1019.
- Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Krüger N, Mueller MA, Drosten C, Pöhlmann S. 2020. The novel coronavirus 2019 (2019nCoV) uses the SARS-coronavirus receptor ACE2 and the cellular protease TMPRSS2 for entry into target cells. BioRxiv, 2020: 929042.
- Hospital A, Goñi JR, Orozco M, Gelpí JL. 2015. Molecular dynamics simulations: advances and applications. Adv Appl

Bioinforma Chem, 19: 37-47.

- Huang YN, Zhao DD, Gao B, Zhong K, Zhu RX, Zhang Y, Xie WJ, Jia LR, Gao H. 2012. Anti-hyperglycemic effect of chebulagic acid from the fruits of terminalia chebula retz. Int J Mol Sci, 13: 6320-6333.
- Huey R, Morris GM, Forli S. 2012. Using AutoDock 4 and AutoDock vina with AutoDockTools: a tutorial. The Scripps Research Institute Molecular Graphics Laboratory, 10550: 1000.
- Kandeel M, Al-Nazawi MJL S. 2020. Virtual screening and repurposing of FDA approved drugs against COVID-19 main protease. Life Sci, 251: 117627.
- Kaul R, Paul P, Kumar S, Büsselberg D, Dwivedi VD, Chaari A. 2021. Promising antiviral activities of natural flavonoids against SARS-CoV-2 targets: systematic review. Int J Mol Sci, 22: 11069.
- Kim DE, Min JS, Jang MS, Lee JY, Shin YS, Park CM, Song JH, Kim HR, Kim S, Jin YH. 2019. Natural bis-benzylisoquinoline alkaloids-tetrandrine, fangchinoline, and cepharanthine, inhibit human coronavirus OC43 infection of MRC-5 human lung cells. Biomolecules, 9: 696.
- Kim DW, Seo KH, Curtis-Long MJ, Oh KY, Oh JW, Cho JK, Lee KH, Park KH. 2014. Phenolic phytochemical displaying SARS-CoV papain-like protease inhibition from the seeds of Psoralea corylifolia. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem, 29: 59-63.
- Kinoshita S, Inoue Y, Nakama S, Ichiba T, Aniya Y. 2007. Antioxidant and hepatoprotective actions of medicinal herb, Terminalia catappa L. from Okinawa Island and its tannin corilagin. Phytomedicine, 14: 755-762.
- Li W, Moore MJ, Vasilieva N, Sui J, Wong SK, Berne MA, Somasundaran M, Sullivan JL, Luzuriaga K, Greenough TC. 2003. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 is a functional receptor for the SARS coronavirus. Nature, 426: 450-454.
- Lin CW, Tsai FJ, Tsai CH, Lai CC, Wan L, Ho TY, Hsieh CC, Chao PDL. 2005. Anti-SARS coronavirus 3C-like protease effects of Isatis indigotica root and plant-derived phenolic compounds. Antiviral Res, 68: 36-42.
- Lin SC, Ho CT, Chuo WH, Li S, Wang TT, Lin CC. 2017. Effective inhibition of MERS-CoV infection by resveratrol. BMC

Infect Dis, 17: 144.

- Macchiagodena M, Pagliai M, Procacci P. 2020. Identification of potential binders of the main protease 3CLpro of the COVID-19 via structure-based ligand design and molecular modeling. Chem Phys Lett, 750: 137489.
- Majnooni MB, Fakhri S, Bahrami G, Naseri M, Farzaei MH, Echeverría J. 2021. Alkaloids as potential phytochemicals against SARS-CoV-2: approaches to the associated pivotal mechanisms. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med, 2021: 6632623.
- Mérarchi M, Sethi G, Fan L, Mishra S, Arfuso F, Ahn KS. 2018. Molecular targets modulated by fangchinoline in tumor cells and preclinical models. Molecules, 23: 2538.
- Naviaux RK, Curtis B, Li K, Naviaux JC, Bright AT, Reiner GE, Westerfield M, Goh S, Alaynick WA, Wang L. 2017. Low-dose suramin in autism spectrum disorder: a small, phase I/II, randomized clinical trial. Ann Clin Transl Neurol, 4: 491-505.
- Noureddine O, Issaoui N, Al-Dossary O. 2021. DFT and molecular docking study of chloroquine derivatives as antiviral to coronavirus COVID-19. J King Saud Univ Sci, 33: 101248.
- Pant S, Singh M, Ravichandiran V, Murty US N, Srivastava HK. 2020. Peptide-like and small-molecule inhibitors against Covid-19. J Biomol Struct Dyn, 39: 2904-2913.
- Park JY, Jeong HJ, Kim JH, Kim YM, Park SJ, Kim D, Park KH, Lee WS, Ryu YB. 2012a. Diarylheptanoids from Alnus japonica inhibit papain-like protease of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus. Biol Pharm Bull, 35: 2036-2042.
- Park JY, Kim JH, Kim YM, Jeong HJ, Kim DW, Park KH, Kwon HJ, Park SJ, Lee WS, Ryu YB. 2012b. Tanshinones as selective and slow-binding inhibitors for SARS-CoV cysteine proteases. Bioorg Med Chem, 20: 5928-5935.
- Park JY, Ko JA, Kim DW, Kim YM, Kwon HJ, Jeong HJ, Kim CY, Park KH, Lee WS, Ryu YB. 2016. Chalcones isolated from Angelica keiskei inhibit cysteine proteases of SARS-CoV. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem, 31: 23-30.
- Ralph R, Lew J, Zeng T, Francis M, Xue B,

Roux M, Ostadgavahi AT, Rubino S, Dawe NJ, Al-Ahdal MN, Kelvin DJ, Richardson CD, Kindrachuk J, Falzarano D, Kelvin AA. 2020. 2019-nCoV (Wuhan virus), a novel Coronavirus: Human-tohuman transmission, travel-related cases, and vaccine readiness. J Infect Dev Ctries, 14: 3-17.

- Rameshrad M, Ghafoori M, Mohammadpour AH, Nayeri MJD, Hosseinzadeh H. 2020.
  A comprehensive review on drug repositioning against coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID19). Naunyn-Schmiedeb Arch Pharmacol, 393: 1137-1152.
- Ruan X, Du P, Zhao K, Huang J, Xia H, Dai D, Huang S, Cui X, Liu L, Zhang J. 2020.
  Mechanism of Dayuanyin in the treatment of coronavirus disease 2019 based on network pharmacology and molecular docking. Chin Med, 15: 1-17.
- Ryu YB, Jeong HJ, Kim JH, Kim YM, Park JY, Kim D, Naguyen TTH, Park SJ, Chang JS, Park KH. 2010a. Biflavonoids from Torreya nucifera displaying SARS-CoV 3CLpro inhibition. Bioorg Med Chem, 18: 7940-7947.
- Ryu YB, Park SJ, Kim YM, Lee JY, Seo WD, Chang JS, Park KH, Rho MC, Lee WS. 2010b. SARS-CoV 3CLpro inhibitory effects of quinone-methide triterpenes from Tripterygium regelii. Bioorganic Med Chem Lett, 20: 1873-1876.
- Salgado-Benvindo C, Thaler M, Tas A, Ogando NS, Bredenbeek PJ, Ninaber DK, Wang Y, Hiemstra PS, Snijder EJ, Van Hemert MJ. 2020. Suramin inhibits SARS-CoV-2 infection in cell culture by interfering with early steps of the replication cycle. Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 64: e00900-20.
- Shah B, Modi P, Sagar SR. 2020. In silico studies on therapeutic agents for COVID-19: Drug repurposing approach. Life Sci, 252: 117652.
- Shen L, Niu J, Wang C, Huang B, Wang W, Zhu N, Deng Y, Wang H, Ye F, Cen S. 2019. High-throughput screening and identification of potent broad-spectrum inhibitors of coronaviruses. J Virol, 93: e00023-19.
- Singh S, Florez H. 2020. Coronavirus disease 2019 drug discovery through molecular docking. F1000Res, 9: 502.
- Wen CC, Kuo YH, Jan JT, Liang PH, Wang SY, Liu HG, Lee CK, Chang ST, Kuo CJ,

Lee SS. 2007. Specific plant terpenoids and lignoids possess potent antiviral activities against severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus. J Med Chem, 50: 4087-4095.

- Wiedemar N, Hauser DA, Mäser P. 2020. 100 years of suramin. Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 64: 10-128.
- Yang CW, Lee YZ, Kang IJ, Barnard DL, Jan JT, Lin D, Huang CW, Yeh TK, Chao YS, Lee SJ. 2010. Identification of phenanthroindolizines and phenanthroquinolizidines as novel potent anti-coronaviral agents for porcine enteropathogenic coronavirus transmissible gastroenteritis virus and human severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus. Antiviral Res, 88: 160-168.
- Yang J, Hu S, Wang C, Song J, Chen C, Fan

Y, Ben-David Y, Pan W. 2020. Fangchinoline derivatives induce cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in human leukemia cell lines via suppression of the PI3K/AKT and MAPK signaling pathway. Eur J Med Chem, 186: 111898.

- Yi L, Li Z, Yuan K, Qu X, Chen J, Wang G, Zhang H, Luo H, Zhu L, Jiang P. 2004. Small molecules blocking the entry of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus into host cells. J Virol, 78: 11334-11339.
- Yu JW, Wang L, Bao LD. 2020. Exploring the active compounds of traditional Mongolian medicine in intervention of novel coronavirus (COVID-19) based on molecular docking method. J Funct Foods, 71: 104016.